

Exhibit

33

April 29, 2012

Peter Neufeld, Attorney at Law
Neufeld Scheck & Brustin, LLP
99 Hudson Street, 8th Floor
New York, NY 10013

RE: *Halsey v. Pfeiffer, et al.*

Dear Mr. Neufeld,

This report is in response to a request from you on 12 January 2012 that I review and comment upon the polygraph examination that was conducted by Investigator Peter J. Brannon, Sr., of the Union County, New Jersey, Prosecutor's Office on your client Byron Halsey (hereinafter, the Halsey examination) on November 16, 1985. An invoice is attach that shows my rates and time invested in this matter. The materials provided to me (described below) indicate that this polygraph examination was conducted in the context of a homicide investigation into the deaths of Tina and Tyrone Urguhart. In the following sections I comment upon my qualifications and the materials that were provided to me for review. I then describe the standard professional methods for conducting and scoring a forensic polygraph examination in 1985. Finally, I provide my opinions and conclusions concerning the Halsey examination.

My Qualifications:

I am a Professor of Psychology at Boise State University, 1910 University Drive, Boise, Idaho 83725. In 1976 I was trained as a polygraph examiner at the Backster School of Lie Detection in San Diego, California. I practiced full time as a polygraph examiner between 1976 and 1980 when I went to graduate school to study Psychology. My polygraph practice continues to this day as a secondary professional pursuit to my career in Psychology. I hold a Ph.D. degree in Psychology with a concentration on human psychophysiology. My central area of study and research is in sub-discipline of Psychology known as Psychology and Law. Polygraph testing in particular has been a focus over the length of my academic career although I have also done research on jury behavior, eyewitness identification, eyewitness memory, assessing the credibility of child witnesses, interrogations and confessions. I have published a number of peer-reviewed scientific papers, book chapters, and training materials on the polygraph. Since 1982 I have offered basic instruction and continuing education in a number of venues in the polygraph profession. Those educational activities include lectures and instruction at the United States Department of Defense Polygraph Institute (now known as the National Center for Credibility Assessment), the United States Secret Service, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Canadian Police College. On invitation, I have given continuing education lectures and instruction on the polygraph in many of the Untied States and in a number of other countries. Those other countries include Canada, China, Columbia, Israel, Norway, Sweden and the Netherlands. I have appeared as an expert witness on the polygraph and on my other research in a number of courts of law in the United States and elsewhere. Through my training, research, teaching, employment and consulting, I have become highly familiar with all the major techniques used in the polygraph profession, including the polygraph technique used by Investigator Brannon in this case.

Charles R. Honts, Ph D.: Review of the Halsey Polygraph Examination

A true and correct current copy of my Curriculum Vitae is provided with this report. My Curriculum Vitae accurately provides a list of my education, training, professional licensure, publications, presentations, employment history, and a history of my sworn appearances as an expert witness.

Materials Provided For Review:

The following materials were provided in two ZIP files that I downloaded from a hyperlink you provided to a cloud server:

1. Complaint
2. Brannon deposition
3. Brannon trial testimony
4. Brannon MTS testimony
5. Signed polygraph stipulation
6. Pretest subjects data
7. Polygraph attempt report
8. Polygraph questionnaires
9. Polygraph results report
10. Clarification statement
11. Polygraph charts part 1, pages 1-26 (three files)
12. Polygraph charts part 2, pages 1-33 (four files)
13. Polygraph charts part 3, pages 1-19 (two files)

Concerning Polygraph Tests In General

Polygraph examinations are used in the United States for a variety of purposes. The polygraph in question in this matter was used in a criminal trial. This type of polygraph test is referred to in the profession as a specific issue forensic polygraph test. In the United States nearly all the polygraph tests of this type are conducted with one of the variants of the polygraph tests known as comparison question tests (CQT). In the older polygraph literature the CQT was also known as the control question test. The following is a description of professional standard practice with the CQT in 1985. Current standard professional practice is substantially the same. A standard CQT polygraph examinations move through a series of structured phases that are common to all the validated versions of the test. Now and in 1985 it is recommended standard practice for all forensic polygraph examinations to be recorded (in audio at a minimum, but video is now preferred), although requirements for recording are much more common then they were in 1985. In this case, a second type of polygraph test, a concealed information tests (CIT, also known as a Guilty Knowledge Test) was also used. The CIT monitors the subject's physiology for recognition responses to a multiple choice questions about information from the crime scene that should be known by the perpetrator of the crime and the police, but not by innocent uninvolved suspects.

Charles R. Honts, Ph D.: Review of the Halsey Polygraph Examination

Standard Methods for Comparison Question Test Polygraph Examinations in 1985

The first phase of a CQT polygraph examination is an introductory/general information phase where the examiner obtains informed consent to conduct the examination. The informed consent defines the nature and topic of the polygraph and specifies who will receive the results of the examination. The examiner then obtains some general information about the person to be examined. It is common to discuss the subject's health, education, and employment. The introductory/general information phase is brief and usually lasts 15 minutes or less.

The second phase of a properly conducted CQT is the free narrative. In the free narrative phase the person being tested is asked to tell his or her story to the polygraph examiner. This is usually accomplished with an open ended question of the form, "Tell me how it is that you have come here today for a polygraph examination?" The free narrative is a non-confrontative information acquisition style interview. The polygraph examiner should work hard to not interrupt the person's narrative. Specific questions the examiner may have concerning details of the examinee's narrative are saved until the free narrative has ended. Because the length of the free narrative is under the control of the person being tested, its length is variable. In my personal experience, free narratives have lasted as little as 5 minutes while some continue for an hour or, in rare cases, much more. Complex crimes such as business frauds often take longer to describe and explain.

Following the free narrative the examiner takes over and moves into the testing phase. The initial part of the testing phase includes a word for word discussion of the questions to be used in the test, a discussion of how the polygraph works and the polygraph sensors. The introduction of the test questions is a critical part of the examination as the examiner must present the two types of critical questions properly for the test to be valid. The presentation of the questions follows from the theory of the CQT, which is as follows: The CQT assesses a person's credibility by looking for a differential reaction between two types of critical questions. The first type of critical question is known as a relevant question. Relevant questions are direct accusatory questions that address the issue under investigation (e.g., Did you shoot John Doe?). Relevant questions are worded so they can be answered with an unambiguous "Yes" or "No" response. Terms that require interpretation are to be avoided in relevant questions and great care must be taken to define all relevant question terms unambiguously. The second type of critical question, the comparison questions (also know as control questions), are deliberately ambiguous questions concern general issues related to the type of crime under investigations (e.g., Before 1994, did you ever do anything that was dishonest, illegal or immoral?). Through the method of introduction and presentation the examiner rapidly elicits "No" responses to the comparison questions. It is assumed the subject of the examination is lying in their denial of the acts covered in the comparison questions. It is critical that the examiner present the comparison questions to the examinee in manner that establishes them as an important element in the examination and that the examiner elicits a denial with a minimum of discussion. During the subsequent physiological data collection, if the person taking the polygraph test produces larger physiological responses to the relevant questions than to the comparison questions the examiner concludes that the person is being deceptive about the issue under investigation. If the person taking the polygraph test produces larger physiological responses to the comparison questions than to the relevant questions, the examiner concludes that the subject was responding truthfully to the relevant questions and thus to the issue under investigation.

Charles R. Honts, Ph D.: Review of the Halsey Polygraph Examination

The initial part of the testing phase should also include a demonstration test, also known as an acquaintance test or stimulation test. As part of the acquaintance test, the examiner describes and then places the sensors on the person being tested. Typically three physiological systems are measured, respiration, relative blood pressure, and the electrodermal response. There are two respiration sensors, one placed around the upper chest and a second placed over the abdomen. Relative blood pressure is measured from a pneumatic cuff placed on the upper arm. During data collection the blood pressure cuff is inflated to a pressure of between 50 and 70 mmHg. Electrodermal activity is recorded exogenously from two electrodes placed on the palmar surface of the hand, either on the finger or on the palm itself. The acquaintance test has the person lie and tell the truth about some trivial items, such as what number they picked from a series, while their physiological responses are monitored. The acquaintance test gives the examiner a chance to adjust the polygraph instrument to the individual subject's physiology, and it gives the subject a chance to experience and habituate to the novelty of having his or her physiology monitored while answering questions. In the later part of the testing phase the complete set of examination questions are asked of the subject a minimum of three times, and no more than five times, while his or her physiological responses are recorded. The acquaintance test and multiple presentations of the test questions are the only times during the examination that the person taking the test will have sensors placed on his or her person.

After data from a minimum of three presentations of the questions are obtained, the data are scored. Scientific research has established that numerical scoring is the most accurate way to evaluate a CQT. All forms of the CQT can be subjected to numerical scoring. There were three major numerical scoring systems in use in the polygraph profession in 1985, the Utah System, the U. S. Federal System, and the Backster System. Scientific research funded by the Federal Government has since shown that the Utah System generally outperforming the Federal System by providing greater accuracy for both the actually innocent and the actually guilty¹. By 1985 research² had shown that the Backster system of scoring was inferior to the Utah and the Federal systems in that it makes substantially more errors with the actually innocent. The primary bias against the actually innocent in the Backster system is found in the scoring system's instruction to compare relevant questions to the weaker of the available comparison questions. The Utah and the U. S. Federal Systems instruct the examiner to compare the relevant questions to the stronger of the available comparison questions. Psychological theory and all the available data support the use of the stronger of the comparison questions in evaluating the relevant questions.

In the Utah system the examiner compares the physiological response to the relevant questions, in each of the systems measured, with the stronger comparison question response from those comparison questions located near the relevant question in time. Scores are assigned at each relevant question for each physiological system (the two respiration measures are scored as one) on a seven-position scale that

¹ Honts, C. R., Amato, S., & Gordon, A. (2000). *Validity of outside-issue questions in the control question test: Final report on grant no. N00014-98-1-0725*. Submitted to the Office of Naval Research and the Department of Defense Polygraph Institute. Applied Cognition Research Institute, Boise State University. DTIC# ADA376666. Also see the recent report by the American Polygraph Association on validated polygraph techniques, recently published as, Gougler, M., Nelson, R., Handler, M., Krapohl, D., Shaw, P., & Bierman, L. (2011). Meta-analytic survey of criterion accuracy of validated polygraph techniques. *Polygraph*, 40, 191-305.

² Weaver, R. S., (1980) The numerical evaluation of polygraph charts: Evolution and comparison of three major systems, *Polygraph*, 9, 94-108. Honts, C. R., Hodes, R. L., & Raskin, D. C. (1985). Effects of physical countermeasures on the physiological detection of deception. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 70, 177-187. Honts, C. R. & Hodes, R. L. (1983). The detection of physical countermeasures. *Polygraph*, 12, 7-17.

Charles R. Honts, Ph D.: Review of the Halsey Polygraph Examination

ranges from -3 to +3. A score of 0 would indicate that there was no difference in the strength of the response between the relevant and comparison questions being compared. Negative scores indicate that the relevant question produced the larger response while positive scores indicated that the comparison question produced the larger response. The magnitude of the score indicate the size of the difference between the relevant and comparison questions. After all the relevant questions are scored, the scores are totaled for each relevant question and a total score for the examination is also calculated. In the Utah Scoring System, when evaluating an examination that addresses a single incident, as in the Halsey examination, only the total score is considered. A total numerical score of -6 or less indicates deception. A total numerical score of +6 or greater indicates truthfulness. Total numerical scores between -6 and +6 are considered inconclusive. Complete detail of the Utah Scoring System was most recently provided by Bell and his colleagues³.

The final phase of a CQT is known as the post-test phase. During the post-test phase the results of evaluation are provided to the person taking the test and discussed. In some settings, if the person taking the test has produced deceptive results, the polygraph examination may transition into an interrogation. The length of the post-test can thus be highly variable.

Concealed Information Test Polygraph Examinations.

The Concealed Information Test (CIT, also know as the guilty knowledge test) is another method for detecting deception. In contrast to CQT, the CIT does not attempt to directly assess the veracity of a person's statements concerning knowledge or involvement in a crime. Instead, this technique is used to determine if the subject is concealing knowledge of details of the crime that would be known only to a guilty person (and the police investigating the crime).

The GKT consists of a series of multiple-choice questions, each of which addresses a different aspect of the crime. For example, if the subject is suspected of stealing a ring, a question on the test might be, "Regarding the type of ring that was stolen, do you know if it was: (1) a ruby ring, (2) a gold wedding ring, (3) a pearl ring, (4) a diamond ring, (5) a sapphire ring, (6) a silver and turquoise ring?" A guilty subject who knows the correct alternative is expected to show a relatively strong physiological reaction to that item. However, an innocent subject who has no specific knowledge is not expected to respond differentially to correct and incorrect alternatives. Typically, only electrodermal responses to the questions are scored, and reactions to the first alternative in a presentation series is not evaluated because the first item in a series typically produces a large orienting reaction that is independent of any specific knowledge that may be possessed by the subject.

Scoring of the CIT is very simple and has been standardized in the scientific literature since first described in the scientific literature in 1959⁴. The electrodermal response to the scorable items are measured and rank ordered. If the key item has the largest response it is given a score of 2. If the response to the key item is the second largest then it is given a score of 1, all other responses are given a score of zero. The standard scoring of a CIT uses the following rule for making decisions: If the total score across the questions less than $(T/2) + 1$, where T= the maximum possible total score, the subject was reported as not concealing information. If the total score was equal to, or greater than $(T/2) + 1$,

³ Bell, B. G., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (1999). The Utah numerical scoring system. *Polygraph*, 28, 1-9.

⁴ Lykken, D. T. (1959). The GSR in the detection of guilt. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 43, 385-388, and Lykken, D. T. (1960) The validity of the guilty knowledge technique. The effects of faking. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 44, 258-262

Charles R. Honts, Ph D.: Review of the Halsey Polygraph Examination

the subject was reported as concealing knowledge. Since responding by an actually innocent person should be at chance, the probability of making a false positive error is defined by the laws of probability. Thus, for one multiple-choice question with five scorable alternatives, the probability that the subject's strongest electrodermal response will occur by chance to the correct alternative is 1 in 5, or 20%. With several multiple-choice questions, the chance probability that a subject who has no concealed knowledge will consistently react most strongly to the correct alternatives is exceedingly small. Generally, 5 or more key items are required for a CIT to be considered valid, as that many items provides an extremely high level against false positive errors. However, there are data showing that CITs with fewer items remain useful in providing information about credibility. This is particularly true because regardless of the number of CIT items, it is still possible to provide calculable probabilities of false positive error. My Scoring of the Polygraph Examinations

Comparison Question Test Series

In this case, as is my standard practice in reviewing examinations conducted by other examiners, I evaluated the physiological data before reviewing the other materials. My review of the physiological data revealed that three polygraph test formats were employed. The first test was a standard CQT examination with two relevant and two comparison questions. That series of questions was only repeated two times. The second format was also a CQT format developed by Cleve Backster and known as the SKY (Suspicion, Knowledge, You). Investigator Brannon incorrectly names this technique an SKG. The SKY is a CQT with three relevant questions and two traditional comparison questions. The SKY also contains a suspicion question in the form, Do you suspect any one of committing (the crime under investigation?). In the Backster system, the suspicion question is considered a comparison question and is scored as such. It is not clear how Investigator Brannon used the suspicion question. In his report of the Halsey examination Investigator Brannon (UC003394) lists the suspicion question with the relevant questions. However, in SKY question series document (UC003387) the suspicion questions is designated with the label "31 C". That label groups it with the traditional comparison questions. I would also note that to my knowledge the SKY series and the functional role of the suspicion question in the SKY have never been validated in the scientific or the professional polygraph literature. The SKY series questions in the Halsey examination were asked only one time. There was also a CIT with one series of alternatives that was repeated twice.

I was provided with three sets of scanned images of the physiological recordings from the Halsey examination. Some of the images were very of very poor quality, but between the three sets of images I was able to piece together the data from the examination. My initial examination of the .pdf versions of the physiological recordings revealed that the standard physiological measures were recorded and those recordings were of minimally acceptable quality under the polygraph profession's standards of 1985. It was also my opinion that the recordings were sufficient quality to be submitted to a numerical scoring analysis.

I evaluated the two CQT series of physiological recordings with numerical scoring using the scoring system developed and scientifically validated at the University of Utah. The Utah Scoring System has consistently been shown by research to be the most accurate of the scorings systems currently available.

There were two relevant questions in Series 1 of the Halsey examination. According to Investigator Brannon's report of the examination (UC 03394) and the question list from the examination (UC003389) the relevant questions in Series 1 were:

Charles R. Honts, Ph D.: Review of the Halsey Polygraph Examination

33 R. Did you kill Tyrone and Tina? Indicated Answer "No"

35 R. Regarding the death of Tyrone and Tina, are you the one who killed those children? Indicated Answer "No"

My analysis of Series 1 of the examination produced a total score of 0. That score is inconclusive concerning the credibility of the responses to the relevant questions of Series 1.

There were three relevant questions (see my discussion of the suspicion question above) in Series 2 of the Halsey examination. According to Investigator Brannon's report of the examination (UC 03394) and the question list from the examination (UC003387) the relevant questions in Series 2 were:

32 R. Do you know for sure who killed Tyrone and Tina? "No"

33 R. Did you kill Tyrone and Tina? Indicated Answer "No"

34 R. Did anyone else help you kill Tyrone and Tina? Indicated Answer "No"

The Series 2 questions were repeated only once. In the Utah Scoring System, when evaluating an examination that addresses a single incident, as in Series 2 of the Halsey examination, only the total score is considered. A total numerical score of -6 or less indicates deception. A total numerical score of +6 or greater indicates truthfulness. Total numerical scores between -6 and +6 are considered inconclusive. My analysis of Series 2 of the Halsey examination produced a total score of -2. That score is inconclusive concerning the credibility of the responses to the relevant questions of Series 2. The SKY format is unique to the Backster system and it is described as being useful for determining the level of involvement of the individual. In the SKY relevant questions are evaluated separately. However, there are no published validity studies evaluating the validity of the SKY format. Nor to my knowledge are there any studies, published or unpublished addressing the validity or utility of the SKY in any way. Any credibility assessment based on a single SKY series, as investigator Brannon did in the Halsey Polygraph, is purely speculative and without any scientific foundation or support for its validity.

The Comparison Questions:

The examination question lists provide the comparison questions used in the Halsey examination. The comparison questions from the Halsey examination are reproduced here.

The comparison questions from Series 1 (from UC003389) were:

46 C. Between the ages of 12 and 21 do you remember ever deliberately hurting someone you loved? Indicated Answer "No"

47 C. During the first 12 years of your life do you remember ever deliberately hurting someone you loved? Indicated Answer "No"

The comparison questions from Series 2 (from UC003387) were:

46 C. Between the ages of 12 and 21 do you remember ever hurting someone you loved? Indicated Answer "No"

47 C. During the first 12 years of your life do you remember ever hurting someone you loved? Indicated Answer "No"

Charles R. Honts, Ph D.: Review of the Halsey Polygraph Examination

The form of comparison question 47 C in both series is very unusual in that it is time limited to before the age of 12. Without a recording it is not possible to know how this question was presented to Mr. Halsey or how the examiner could have made this question appear to be relevant to the issues under investigation. In my opinion, most 24 year old male subjects in 1985 could have answered question 47 C with a "No" and held the belief that it was a truthful response. If that were the case, then 47 C would not have been an appropriate comparison question. In my opinion neither version of question 47 C met the professional or scientific standards of practice in 1985. Moreover, it is my opinion that since 47 C was probably answered with a truthful "No," its use as a comparison question biased this examination against the innocent.

Concealed Information Test

The CIT consisted of a single key item concerning what garment was placed in the victims mouth. The preparatory statement for this CIT was:

If you killed Tina, did you do any of the following?

The question template was:

Did you put <insert item> in her mouth?

Items to be used the question:

- A. a wad of toilet paper**
- B. a wad of paper towels**
- C. a pair of underpants**
- D. a night gown**
- E. a slipper**
- F. a sock**
- G. a rag**
- H. a stick**

The answer known to be correct by the police was item C, a pair of underpants. This item address a salient and highly memorable detail from the crime scene that must have involved direct personal action by the perpetrator. The highly salient nature of this time minimizes concerns about whether or not the actual perpetrator would remember the item, and minimizes concerns of a false negative error with this CIT. This sequence of items was repeated twice. During the second repetition the order of the items was reversed.

The scientifically validated scoring method for a CIT is very simple and is described above in the section on the Concealed Information Test Polygraph Examination. I printed the CIT recording onto standard size paper and then measured (to the nearest mm) the electrodermal response amplitude to all items,

Charles R. Honts, Ph D.: Review of the Halsey Polygraph Examination

except the first item in both series. The items were then rank ordered. During the first presentation of the items, the key item, C, was ranked as the 4 largest response among the 7 scored responses. The key item was thus assigned a score of 0. During the second presentation of the items, the key item as ranked as the third largest response of the 7 scored responses. The key item was thus assigned a score of 0 for the second presentation. To have been considered as having knowledge of what was placed in the victim's mouth Mr. Halsey would have to have scored 3 or 4 on this test. **Mr. Halsey's score of 0 is the strongest possible score in the truthful direction.** Investigator Brannon conclusion "In the peak of tension test, Halsey reacted consistently to choice "C" in all parameters in each chart." is simply incorrect

Opinions and Conclusions:

1. The physiological recordings were of minimally acceptable quality for an examination conducted in 1985.
2. The relevant questions of the examination were proper in structure, content and form. The relevant questions conform to 1985 standards of practice within the polygraph profession.
3. The examination was not recorded. Without a recording it is impossible to evaluate whether or not the polygraph examiner did an adequate job of presenting the critical questions. Without a recording it is also impossible to determine if the examiner conducted the examination in a manner that appeared unbiased and professional. Scientific consensus in support of a requirement for recoding all polygraph examinations used for applied purposes goes back to the late 1970s.
4. One of the comparison questions in each of the comparison question series failed to meet professional standards for the formulation of comparison questions. It seems likely to me that question 47 C in both Series 1 and Series 2 was a probable truth question. As such the use of 47 C in scoring would create a bias against an actually innocent subject.
5. In my opinion, the data obtained in Series 1 are inconclusive. Further, it is my opinion that no polygraph examiner who used a valid scoring technique in 1985 could score Series 1 and reach the conclusion that Mr. Halsey was being deceptive.
6. In my opinion, although data in Series 2 trend in the deceptive direction, the only defensible outcome is to conclude that Series 2 is also inconclusive.
7. I do not believe that any competent examiner using a validated scoring technique could have reasonably reached the conclusion that Mr. Halsey was deceptive.
8. In 1985 the standard within the polygraph profession was to collect physiological data from a minimum of three presentations of a question list. Neither Series 1 (2 presentations) nor Series 2 (1 presentation) met this minimal standard for data collection.
9. **In summary concerning the CQT portion of the examination, it is my opinion that there were serious problems with the design and implementation of the Halsey polygraph examination. One of the two comparison questions was at best weak, and was likely inappropriate. The amount of obtained data was less than that required by professional standards. The data that were obtained are inconclusive about Mr. Halsey's credibility when he answered the relevant questions in both series.**

Charles R. Honts, Ph D.: Review of the Halsey Polygraph Examination

10. Concerning the CIT, it is my opinion that the CIT was formulated within the standards of practice of 1985. Only one key item was tested. That item was presented in a series with 7 scorable alternatives. This item was presented twice. The expected accuracy of such a test with an actually innocent individual has been calculated to be 0.891.
11. **In my opinion the CIT series of questions produces unambiguous results supporting Mr. Halsey's truthfulness when he denied knowledge of the crime scene.** Methods for conducting and scoring the CIT were developed, validated, and published in the late 1950s and early 1960s. The CIT (aka GKT) was a well known and well established technique in 1985. The scoring methods for the CIT were widely distributed in 1985 in both the scientific and the professional polygraph literatures.
12. **Mr. Halsey's score on the CIT was the strongest truthful score possible.**
13. **Investigator Brannon statement about Mr. Halsey responses in the CIT, "In the peak of tension test, Halsey reacted consistently to choice "C" in all parameters in each chart." is simply incorrect.**
14. In summary, my evaluation of the entire Halsey polygraph examination indicates that Mr. Halsey did not fail either of the comparison question test series and that the CIT produced a strong truthful result. It is my opinion that rather than failing this polygraph examination **Mr. Halsey actually passed the examination and produced a truthful result.**

The opinions stated in this report are held to a reasonable degree of scientific and professional certainty.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Sincerely yours,



Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.
Professor of Psychology

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Office

Department of Psychology
Boise State University
1910 University Drive
Boise, ID 83725
USA

Email:

Chonts@boisestate.edu
HONTS@MAC.COM

Voice: 208.426.3695

FAX: 208.426.4386

Prepared:

April 29, 2012

WWW:

<http://truth.boisestate.edu/honts>

Education:

Ph. D., Psychology (Emphasis Human Experimental), University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, Awarded, June 1986.

M. S., Psychology (Emphasis Human Experimental), Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA. Awarded, June 1982.

B. S., Psychology, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA. Awarded, June 1974.

Faculty Appointments:

Professor of Psychology,	1995 to date
Department Head	2000 to 2003
Full Member of the Graduate Faculty	
Boise State University, Boise, Idaho.	

Associate Professor of Psychology, Full Member of the Graduate Faculty	1990 to 1995
Promoted from Assistant Professor in 1993 (3rd year)	
University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.	

Adjunct Assistant Professor, Psychology Department, University of Utah,	1987 to 1995
Salt Lake City.	

Adjunct Professor, College of Graduate Studies and Continuing Education	1989 to 1990
Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville, Alabama.	

Professional Service and Awards:

President-Elect, President, Immediate Past-President, and Member of the Executive Committee of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, 2004-2007.

Charter Member and Fellow (Elected 2006) of the Association for Psychological Science.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Professional Employment:

Professor of Psychology, Department of Psychology, Boise State University, Boise, Idaho.	1995 to Date
Associate Professor of Psychology, Psychology Department, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.	1993 to 1995
Assistant Professor of Psychology, Psychology Department, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.	1990 to 1993
Research Psychologist - Research Team Leader (GS-14) Department of Defense Polygraph Institute - Research Division Fort McClellan, Alabama.	1988 to 1990
Research Assistant (4 years) / Associate (2 years) Department of Psychology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City Projects: <i>A Study of the Validity of Polygraph Examinations in Criminal Investigations</i> . Funded by the National Institute of Justice <i>The Effects of Physical and Mental Countermeasures on the Physiological Detection of Deception</i> . Funded by the Department of Psychology, University of Utah.	1982 to 1988
Teaching Assistant, Department of Psychology Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA.	1981 to 1982
Detection of Deception Examiner, Various Employers.	1976 to 1980

Specialized Training:

The Backster School of Lie Detection (Polygraph Examiner's Course) San Diego, California
(September - October 1976).

Workshop on the Assessment of Truthfulness of Alleged Victims of Sexual Offenders Through
Statement Reality Analysis. Professor Udo Undeutsch, Instructor. Salt Lake City, Utah (March,
1985).

Interdisciplinary Seminar on Interviewing and Assessing Credibility of Alleged Victims and
Perpetrators in Sexual Abuse Cases. Scottsdale, Arizona (April, 1986).

Contracting Officer's Representative Course. Army Logistics Management College, Fort Lee,
Virginia (June 1988).

Interview and Assessment Techniques in Child Sexual Abuse Cases. Seminar sponsored by
University of Utah, Department of Psychology, and Division of Continuing Education. (May,
1991).

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Post Conviction Sexual Offender Testing. Forty hour course conducted at the New England Polygraph Institute. Instruction provided by Raymond Nelson an American Polygraph Association Primary PSCOT Instructor. Course conducted June 28, 2010 through July 2, 2010, inclusive. Certificate dated, July 2, 2010.

Thesis And Dissertation:

Honts, C. R. (1982). *The effects of simple physical countermeasures on the physiological detection of deception*. Unpublished master's thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg.

Honts, C. R. (1986). Countermeasures and the physiological detection of deception: A psychophysiological analysis. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 47, 1761B. (Order No. DA8616081)

Professional Publications and Reports:

2012

Honts, C. R., Kassin, S., Craig, R., Forrest, K., McBride, S., & Anderson, A. (2012). Juvenile false confessions: More detectable than adult false confessions? Manuscript under revision.

Honts, C. R. (2011). *A new paradigm for the study of malintent*. Manuscript under revision.

2011

Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (2011). Research methods for psychophysiological deception detection. In Rosenfeld, B., & Penrod, S. D., (Eds.) *Research Methods in Forensic Psychology*, Hoboken, (pp. 105-121) NJ, USA: Wiley.

2010

Handler, M., Nelson, R., Krapohl, D., Honts, C. (2010). An EDA primer for polygraph examiners. *Polygraph*, 39, 68-108 .

Handler, M., Nelson, R., Krapohl, D., Honts, C. (2010). An EDA primer for polygraph examiners. *The Police Polygraph Digest*, January, 9-35. A revision and republication of the article originally published in *Polygraph*.

2009

Handler, M. D., Honts, C. R., Krapohl, D. J., Nelson, R., & Griffin, S. (2009). Integration of pre-employment polygraph screening into the police selection process. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*, 24, 69-86. (Published online 20 May 2009.)

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

- Honts, C. R., (2009). Deception detection technology, in, Jamieson, A., & Moenssens, A., (Eds.) *Wiley Encyclopedia of Forensic Science*. West Sussex, UK: Wiley.
- Honts, C. R., Hartwig, M., Kleinman, S. M., & Meissner, C. A. (2009). *Credibility assessment at portals: Portals committee report*. Final report of the Portals Committee to the Defense Academy for Credibility Assessment / U. S. Defense Intelligence Agency.
- Honts, C. R., & Reavy, R., (2009). *Effects of Comparison Question Type and Between Test Stimulation on the Validity of Comparison Question Test*. Final Progress Report on Contract No. W911Nf-07-1-0670, submitted to the Defense Academy of Credibility Assessment (DACA). Boise State University.
- Honts, C. R., & Schweinle, (2009). Information gain of psychophysiological detection of deception in forensic and screening settings. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 34, 161-172. (Available online July 2009)
- Webb, A. K., Honts, C. R., Kircher, J. C., Bernhardt, P. C., and Cook, A., E. (2009). Effectiveness of pupil diameter in probable-lie comparison question tests for deception. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 14, 279-292, (Available online June 2009).

2008

- Handler, M. D., & Honts, C. R. (2008). Psychophysiological mechanisms in deception detection: A theoretical overview. *Polygraph* 36, 221-232.
- Handler, M. D., & Honts, C. R. (2008). You can run, but you can't hide: A critical look at the fight or flight response in psychophysiological detection of deception. *European Polygraph*, 2, 193-207.
- Honts, C. R., (2008). *Credibility assessment at portals*. Report of the Credibility Assessment Research Summit Portal Committee, to the U. S. Department of Defense.
- Honts, C. R. (2008). Polygraph and polygraph techniques. In B. L. Cutler (Editor), *Encyclopedia of psychology and law (Volume 2)*, (pp. 596-600). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (2008). Scientific Status: The case for polygraph tests. In, D. L. Faigman, M. J. Saks, J. Sanders, and E. Cheng (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony (Volume 5): 2008-2009 Edition*. Thompson West: Eagan, Minnesota.
- Voas, B., Johnson, M., Turrisi, R., Taylor, D., Honts, C. R., & Nelson, L. (2008). Bringing alcohol on campus to raise money: Impact on student drinking and drinking problems. *Addiction*, 103, 940-950.

2007

- Honts, C. R. & Alloway, W. (2007). Information does not affect the validity of a comparison question test. *Legal And Criminological Psychology*, 12, 311-312. (Available online in 2006)

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Honts, C. R., & Amato, S. (2007). Automation of a screening polygraph test increases accuracy. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 13, 187-199. (Available online in 2006)

2006

Honts, C. R. (2006). Recent theoretical and applied findings for autonomic psychophysiological deception detection. *International Journal Of Psychophysiology* 61, 304-305. (Abstract)

2005

Honts, C. R. (2005). Rocky mountain psychological association: Report of the 75th annual meeting. *American Psychologist*, 60, 1022-1024.

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (2005). Scientific Status: The case for polygraph tests. In, D. L. Faigman, D. Kaye, M. J. Saks, & J. Sanders (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony (Volume 4): Forensics 2005-2006 Edition*. Thompson West: Eagan, Minnesota, (571-605).

2004

Honts, C. R. (2004). The psychophysiological detection of deception, in P. Granhag and L. Strömwall (Eds.) *Detection of deception in forensic contexts*. London: Cambridge University Press 103-123.

Honts, C. R., Amato, S., & Gordon, A. (2004). Effects of outside issues on the Control Question Test. *The Journal of General Psychology*, 151, 53-74.

Thurber, S., Bonyngne, E., & Honts, C. R. (2004). Barron's revised ego-strength scale as a measure of test taking style: Relationships with the validity scales of the MMPI-2. *Counseling and Clinical Psychology Journal*, 1, 119-124.

2003

Honts, C. R. (2003). Participant perceptions support the rationale of the comparison questions test for the psychophysiological detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 40, S48. (Abstract)

2002

Honts, C. R., & Amato, S. (2002). Countermeasures, in M. Kleiner (Ed.), *Handbook of polygraph testing*. London: Academic (251-264).

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (2002). The scientific status of research on polygraph techniques: The case for polygraph tests. In, D. L. Faigman, D. Kaye, M. J. Saks, & J. Sanders (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony (Volume 2)*. West: St. Paul Minnesota, (446-483).

Otter-Henderson, K., Honts, C. R., & Amato, S. L. (2002). Spontaneous countermeasures during polygraph examinations: An apparent exercise in futility. *Polygraph*, 31, 9-14.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (2002). The comparison question test. In M. Kleiner (Ed.), *Handbook of polygraph testing*. London: Academic (1-49).

Thurber, S., Snow, M., & Honts, C. R. (2002). The Zung Self-Rating Depression Scale: Convergent validity and diagnostic discrimination. *Assessment*, 9, 401-405.

2001

Honts, C. R., & Amato, S. (2001). Psychophysiological credibility assessment. *Journal of Forensic Psychology Practice*, 1, 87-99.

Honts, C. R., Amato, S. & Gordon, A. K. (2001). Effects of spontaneous countermeasures used against the comparison question test. *Polygraph*, 30, 1-9.

2000

Honts, C. R. (2000). A brief note on the misleading and the inaccurate: A rejoinder to Matte (2000) with critical comments on Matte and Reuss (1999). *Polygraph*, 29, 321-325.

Honts, C. R., (2000). Comments on *State v. Shively*, 999 Pacific 2d, 952 (2000). Invited commentary. *The Forensic Panel Letter*, November, Available: www.forensicpanel.com

Honts, C. R., (2000). Comments on *State of Hawaii v. William K. Naone*, 92 Haw. 289. Invited commentary. *The Forensic Panel Letter Online*, May, Available: <http://www.forensicpanel.com>

Honts, C. R., Amato, S., & Gordon, A. (2000). *Validity of outside-issue questions in the control question test: Final report on grant no. N00014-98-1-0725*. Submitted to the Office of Naval Research and the Department of Defense Polygraph Institute. Applied Cognition Research Institute, Boise State University. DTIC# ADA376666.

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., Amato, S. L., Gordon, A., & Devitt, M. K. (2000). The hybrid directed lie test, the overemphasized comparison question, chimeras and other inventions: A rejoinder to Abrams (1999). *Polygraph*, 29, 156-168.

Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., Amato, S., & Kircher, J. C. (2000). The case for the admissibility of the results of polygraph examinations: In D. L. Faigman, D. Kaye, M. J. Saks, & J. Sanders (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony: Volume 1 2000 Pocket Part* (201-217).

1999

Bell, B. G., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (1999). The Utah numerical scoring system. *Polygraph*, 28, 1-9.

Honts, C. R. (1999). The discussion of comparison questions between list repetitions (charts) is associated with increased test accuracy. 28, *Polygraph*, 117-123.

Honts, C. R. (1999). Flaws detected in polygraph study. *The Forensic Panel Letter*, 3(11), 1,5.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Honts, C. R., & Amato, S. L. (1999). *The automated polygraph examination: Final report*. Final report of U. S. Government Contract No. 110224-1998-MO. Applied Cognition Research Institute, Boise State University.

Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., Amato, S., & Kircher, J. C. (1999). The case for the admissibility of the results of polygraph examinations: 1999 Pocket Part to Vol. 1 of D. L. Faigman, D. Kaye, M. J. Saks, & J. Sanders (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony*. (pp. 160-174).

Tye, M. C., Amato, S. L., Honts, C. R., Devitt, M. K., & Peters, D. P. (1999). The willingness of children to lie and the assessment of credibility in an ecologically relevant laboratory setting. *Applied Developmental Science*, 3, 92-109.

1998

Honts, C. R., & Gordon, A. (1998). A critical analysis of Matte's analysis of the directed lie. *Polygraph*, 27, 241-252.

Honts, C.R. (1998). Louder and longer: A review of the second edition of Lykken's *A Tremor in the Blood*. *Polygraph*, 27, 302-304.

Honts, C. R. (1998). Criterion development and validity of the control question test in field application. *Polygraph*, 27, 219-230. Reprinted from: *The Journal of General Psychology*, 123, 309-324 (1996).

1997

Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Vondergeest, L. (1997). Truth or just bias: The presentation of polygraph testing in introductory psychology text books. *The Journal of Credibility Assessment and Witness Psychology*, 1, 9-32.

Honts, C. R. (1997). Truth or bias: Psychology and the polygraph. *The National Psychologist*, 6, 15.

Honts, C. R., & Peterson, C. F. (1997). *Brief of the Committee of Concerned Social Scientists as Amicus Curiae. United States v. Scheffer*, in the Supreme Court of the United States. Available from the author.

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1997). A rejoinder to Iacono and Lykken. Chapter in, D. L. Faigman, D. Kaye, M. J. Saks, & J. Sanders (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony* (pp. 629-631).

Horowitz, S. W., Kircher, J. C., Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (1997). The role of comparison questions in physiological detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 34, 108-115.

Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (1997). The scientific status of research on polygraph techniques: The case for polygraph tests. Chapter in, D. L. Faigman, D. Kaye, M. J. Saks, & J. Sanders (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony* (pp. 565-582).

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (1997). A response to professors Iacono and Lykken Chapter in, D. L. Faigman, D. Kaye, M. J. Saks, & J. Sanders (Eds.) *Modern scientific evidence: The law and science of expert testimony* (pp. 619-627).

1996

Amato-Henderson, S. L., Honts, C. R., & Plaud, J. J. (1996). Effects of misinformation on the Concealed Knowledge Test. *Psychophysiology*, 33, S18. [Abstract]

Honts, C. R. (1996). Criterion development and validity of the control question test in field application. *The Journal of General Psychology*, 123, 309-324.

Honts, C. R., Devitt, M. K., Winbush, M., & Kircher, J. C. (1996). Mental and physical countermeasures reduce the accuracy of the concealed knowledge test. *Psychophysiology*, 33, 84-92.

1995

Amato Henderson, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (1995). Horizontal gaze nystagmus test: The state of the science in 1995. *North Dakota Law Review*, 71, 671-700.

Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (1995). Legends of the concealed knowledge test: Lykken's distributional scoring system fails to detect countermeasures. *Psychophysiology*, 32, S41. (Abstract)

Honts, C. R., Kircher, J. C., & Raskin, D. C. (1995). Polygrapher's dilemma or psychologist's chimaera: A reply to Furedy's logico-ethical considerations for psychophysiological practitioners and researchers. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 20, 199-207.

Honts, C. R. & Quick, B. D., (1995). The polygraph in 1995: Progress in science and the law. *North Dakota Law Review*, 71, 987-1020.

Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (1995). Lens model analysis of decision making by field polygraph examiners. *Psychophysiology*, 32, S45. (Abstract)

1994

Amato, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (1994). What do psychophysiologicalists think about polygraph tests? A survey of the membership of SPR. *Psychophysiology*, 31, S22. (Abstract).

Burlingame, G., Kircher, J. C., & Honts, C. R. (1994). Analysis of variance versus bootstrap procedures for analyzing dependent observations in small group research. *Journal of Small Group Research*, 25, 486-501.

Honts, C. R. (1994). The psychophysiological detection of deception. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 3, 77-82.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

- Honts, C. R. (1994). Assessing children's credibility: Scientific and legal issues in 1994. *North Dakota Law Review*, 70, 879-903.
- Honts, C. R. (1994). Field validity study of the Canadian Police College polygraph technique. *Psychophysiology*, 31, S57. (Abstract)
- Honts, C. R. (1994). Research Report: Termination of Data Collection and Statistical Analyses. Interim report on Science and Services Canada Contract No. M9010-1-F107/01ST, Field validity study of Canadian police college polygraph technique. Grand Forks, North Dakota: C. Honts, Consultations.
- Honts, C. R. (1994). *Final Report: Field Validity Study of the Canadian Police College Polygraph Technique*. Science Branch: Supply and Services Canada Contract No. M9010-3-2219/01ST. Grand Forks, North Dakota: C. Honts, Consultations.
- Honts, C. R. (1994). Field Validity Study of the Canadian Police College Polygraph Technique. TR-07-94. Ottawa, Canada: Canadian Police Research Centre.
- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1994). Mental and physical countermeasures reduce the accuracy of polygraph tests. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 79, 252-259.
- Honts, C. R., Winbush, M., & Devitt, M. K. (1994). Physical and mental countermeasures can be used to defeat guilty knowledge tests. *Psychophysiology*, 31, S57. (Abstract)
- Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (1994). Generalizability of statistical classifiers for the detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 31, S11. (Abstract)

1993

- Honts, C. R. (1993). Heat without light: A review of *Theories And Applications In The Detection Of Deception*. *Psychophysiology*, 30, 317-319.
- Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. D. (1993). Credibility assessment of verbatim statements (CAVS): Final Report of Office of Naval Research Grant No. N0014-92-J-4006. Psychology Department, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.
- Vondergeest, L., Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (1993). Effects of juror and expert witness gender on jurors' perceptions of an expert witness. *Modern Psychological Studies*, 1, 1-6.

1992

- Honts, C. R., (1992). Counterintelligence scope polygraph (CSP) test found to be a poor discriminator. *Forensic Reports*, 5, 215-218.
- Honts, C. R., (1992). A laboratory study of the reliability and validity of statement validity assessment: Final report of Faculty Research Committee Grant #1813-2001-2138. University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Honts, C. R., (1992). Field validity study of Canadian police college polygraph technique: Interim report on contract No. M9010-1-F107/01ST. Science and Services Canada Grand Forks, North Dakota: C. Honts, Consultations.

Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (1992). Bootstrap decision making for polygraph examinations: Final report of DOD/PERSEREC Grant No. N00014-92-J-1794. University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, DTIC# ADA304662.

Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (1992). *Bootstrap decision making for polygraph examinations: Report No. DODPI92-R-0002*. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, Fort McClellan, Alabama.

Honts, C. R., & Perry, M. V. (1992). Polygraph admissibility: Changes and challenges. *Law and Human Behavior*, 16, 357-379.

Honts, C. R., Devitt, M. K., & Amato, S L. (1992). Neural network classifiers and the detection of deception revisited: Depth of learning and overfitting. *Psychophysiology*, 29, S38. (Abstract)

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1992). Effectiveness of control questions answered "Yes": Dispelling a polygraph myth. *Forensic Reports*, 5, 265-272.

1991

Honts, C. R., (1991). The emperor's new clothes: Application of polygraph tests in the American workplace. *Forensic Reports*, 4, 91-116.

Honts, C. R. (1991). Converging evidence indicates invalidity for national security screening polygraph tests. *Psychophysiology*, 28, S30. (Abstract)

Honts, C. R. (1991). Field validity study of Canadian police college polygraph technique: Task 1 report on contract No. M9010-1-F107/01ST, Science and Services Canada. Grand Forks, North Dakota: C. Honts, Consultations.

Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (1991). Jackknife analyses of discriminant, logistic regression and back propagation neural network classifiers in a psychophysiological detection of deception problem. *Psychophysiology*, 28, S30. (Abstract)

1990

Barland, G. H., & Honts, C. R. (1990). *A laboratory study of the validity of the ZCT: An executive summary*. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute Research Report. Fort McClellan, Alabama. DTIC #: ADA339267.

Barland, G. H., Honts, C. R., & Barger, S. D. (1990). *The detection of deception for multiple issues: An executive summary*. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute Research Report. Fort McClellan, Alabama. DTIC #: ADA339187.

Barland, G. H., Honts, C. R., & Barger, S. D. (1990). The relative utility of skin resistance and skin conductance. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute Research Report, No. DoDPI90-R-0005, DTIC #: ADA339184.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Honts, C. R., & Barger, S. D. (1990). A comparison of the relative utility of skin conductance and skin resistance couplers for the measurement of electrodermal activity in the detection of deception. *Polygraph*, 19, 199-207.

Honts, C. R., & Barland, G. H. (1990). *A laboratory study of the validity of the MGQT: An executive summary*. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute Research Report. Fort McClellan, Alabama.

Honts, C. R., & Carlton, B. (1990). The effects of incentives on the detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 27, S39. (Abstract)

Honts, C. R., & Carlton, B. (1990) *The effects of incentives on the detection of deception: Report No. DoDPI90-R-0003*. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, Fort McClellan, AL 36205, DTIC# ADA305810.

1989

Barland, G. H., Honts, C. R., & Barger, S. D. (1989). The validity of detection of deception for multiple issues. *Psychophysiology*, 26, S13. (Abstract)

Barland, G. H., Honts, C. R., & Barger, S. D. (1989). *Studies of the Accuracy of Security Screening Polygraph Examinations*. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, Fort McClellan, Alabama.

Honts, C. R., Barland G. H., & Barger, S. W. (1989). The relative validity of criminal and screening approaches to detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 26, S33. (Abstract)

Honts, C. R., & Driscoll, L. N. (1989). Validity of the positive control polygraph test: Comments on Forman and McCauley. *Polygraph*, 18, 158-167.

Honts, C. R., & Barger, S. D. (1989). A comparison of the relative utility of skin conductance and skin resistance couplers for the measurement of electrodermal activity in the detection of deception. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute Research Report. Fort McClellan, Alabama.

Honts, C. R. (1989). *The relative validity of two CSP question series*. Department of Defense Polygraph Institute Research Report. Fort McClellan, Alabama.

Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., Horowitz, S. W., & Honts, C. R. (1989). Recent laboratory and field research on polygraph techniques. In J. C. Yuille (Ed.), *Credibility Assessment*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer, 1-24.

1988

Honts, C. R., & Driscoll, L. D. (1988). A field validation study of the rank order scoring system (ROSS) in multiple issue control question tests. *Polygraph*, 17, 1-16.

Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (1988). A field study of the validity of the directed lie control question. *Journal of Police Science and Administration*, 16, 56-61.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., & Hodes, R. L. (1988). Effects of spontaneous countermeasures on the physiological detection of deception. *Journal of Police Science and Administration*, 16, 91-94.

Honts, C. R., Kircher, J. C., & Raskin, D. C. (1988). Patterns of activation and deception. *Psychophysiology*, 25, 455. (Abstract)

Horowitz, S. W., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (1988). Control questions in physiological detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 25, 455. (Abstract).

Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (1988). Generalizability of mock crime laboratory studies of the control question polygraph technique. *Psychophysiology*, 25, 462-463. (Abstract)

Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (1988). Validity of control question polygraph tests in criminal investigations. *Psychophysiology*, 25, 476. (Abstract)

Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (1988). *A Study of the Validity of Polygraph Examinations in Criminal Investigations*. Final Report to the National Institute of Justice, Grant Number 85-IJ-CX-0400, Department of Psychology, Salt Lake City, University of Utah.

1987

Driscoll, L. N., Honts, C. R., & Jones D. (1987). The validity of the positive control physiological detection of deception technique. *Journal of Police Science and Administration*, 15, 46-50.

Honts, C. R. (1987). Interpreting research on countermeasures and the physiological detection of deception. *Journal of Police Science and Administration*, 15, 204-209.

Honts, C. R. & Driscoll, L. N. (1987). An evaluation of the reliability and validity of rank order and standard numerical scoring of polygraph charts. *Polygraph*, 16 241-257.

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1987). Effects of physical countermeasures and their electromyographic detection during polygraph tests for deception. *Journal of Psychophysiology*, 1, 241-247.

1986

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1986). Individual differences and the physiological detection of deception. *Psychophysiology*, 23, 442 (Abstract).

1985

Honts, C. R., Hodes, R. L., & Raskin, D. C. (1985). Effects of physical countermeasures on the physiological detection of deception. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 70, 177-187.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1985). Effects of socialization on the physiological detection of deception. *Journal of Research in Personality, 19*, 373-385.

Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., & Honts, C. R. (1985). Computerized polygraph interpretations and detection of physical countermeasures. In *Anti-terrorism; Forensic science; Psychology in police investigations*. Jerusalem: Heiliger, 179-189.

1984

Honts, C. R. (1984). Countermeasures and the physiological detection of deception. *Psychophysiology, 21*, 566-567 (Abstract).

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., & Hodes, R. L. (1984). Effects of spontaneous countermeasures on the physiological detection of deception. *Psychophysiology, 21*, 583 (Abstract).

Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (1984). Electrodermal habituation in the detection of deception. *Psychophysiology, 21*, 585 (Abstract).

1983

Honts, C. R. & Hodes, R. L. (1983). The detection of physical countermeasures. *Polygraph, 12*, 7-17.

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1983). Detection of deception: Effectiveness of physical countermeasures under high motivation conditions. *Psychophysiology, 20*, 446-447 (Abstract).

1982

Honts, C. R. & Hodes, R. L. (1982). The effect of simple physical countermeasures on the detection of deception. *Psychophysiology, 19*, 564 (Abstract).

Honts, C. R. & Hodes, R. L. (1982). The effects of multiple physical countermeasures on the detection of deception. *Psychophysiology, 19*, 564-565 (Abstract).

Grants and Research Contracts:

Principal/Co-Principal Investigator:

Effects of Comparison Question Type and Between Test Stimulation on the Validity of the Comparison Question Test. Research grant originated with the Defense Academy for Credibility Assessment and issued through the Army Research Office (Awarded, 28 September 2007, closed 20 September 2009). Grant amount, \$299,537.

Credibility Assessment Research Initiative. Three years of funding as a directed appropriation to Boise State University under Research and Development Defense-Wide (RDDW), Line #171. Appropriation amount, \$3,700,000. Directed appropriations approved in 2005, 2005, 2007. The Department of Defense did not awarded as per the language of the appropriation.

Campuses selling alcohol: Profit or problem? Subcontract from the Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation (PIRE) for Year 5 of National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) Grant #5 R37 AA012972-03, CFDA # 93.273. Subcontract amount, \$107,828.

Juvenile Confessions: Stimulus Materials Collection. Dean's Research Award. College of Social Sciences and Public Affairs, Boise State University, October 2004. Award amount, \$600.

Campuses selling alcohol: Profit or problem? Subcontract from the Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation (PIRE) for Year 4 of National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) Grant #5 R37 AA012972-03, CFDA # 93.273. Subcontract amount, \$149,895.

A Cautionary note for the teaching of psychology and law: Media images may be more persuasive than data. Faculty Teaching Award (Travel), Boise State University, March, 2004. Award Amount, \$500.00.

Rocky Mountain Psychological Association Executive Committee. Dean's Service Award (Travel), College of Social Sciences and Public Affairs, Boise State University, March, 2004. Award Amount, \$200.00.

Scientific Attitudes Regarding the Science and Validity of Polygraph Testing. Faculty Research Award (Travel), Boise State University, September 2001. Award amount \$500.00.

Truth or just bias: The strange mix of psychology, law and the detection of deception. Faculty Research Award (Travel), Boise State University, April 2001. Award amount \$500.00.

Outside issues dramatically reduce the accuracy of polygraph tests given to innocent individuals. Faculty Research Award (Travel) Boise State University, September 1999. Award amount \$400.00.

Human v. machine: Research examining the automation of polygraph testing. Faculty Research Award (Travel), Boise State University, March 1999. Award amount \$400.00.

Survey of Professional Knowledge and Opinions Regarding Polygraph Testing. Co-principal investigator Susan Amato. Faculty Research Award, Boise State University, November 1998. Award amount \$500.00.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

- Survey of Professional Knowledge and Opinions Regarding Polygraph Testing.* Co-principal investigator Susan Amato. Undergraduate Research Initiative Award, Boise State University, November 1998. Award amount \$500.00.
- The Polygraph after Daubert.* Faculty Research Award (Travel), Boise State University, March 1998. Award amount \$400.00.
- Validity of Outside-Issue Questions in the Control Question Test (DoDPI97-P-0012).* Co-principal investigator, Susan Amato. Research grant originated with the Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, issued through the Office of Naval Research, 9 March 1998. Award amount \$129,042.50.
- The Automated Polygraph Examination (APE).* Co-principal investigator, Susan Amato. Research Contract with the United States Federal Government. Start date: 11 December 97. Contract Amount, \$132,000.00.
- Theory Development and Psychophysiological Credibility Assessment: An Application of Structural Equation Modeling to Increase Basic Understanding of a Technique Already in Use in the Field. Research Associate award from Boise State University. Awarded 1 June 1996. Award amount, \$3,900.00.
- Assessing the Credibility of the Child Witness.* Travel award from the Faculty Research Committee of the University of North Dakota to support paper presentations at the Society for Research in Child Development meetings. Awarded, 13 February 1995, Award amount \$383.00.
- Research on the Creation of False Memory and the Misinformation Effect.* Grant No. 4394-0403 from ND EPSCoR, the National Science Foundation's Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research, April 1994. Grant Amount, \$1500.
- Quantitative Techniques in the Psychophysiological Detection of Deception.* Summer 1993 Research Professorship awarded by the Graduate School of the University of North Dakota, February 1993. Stipend amount, \$5,400.
- Credibility Assessment of Verbatim Statements (CAVS).* Grant No. N00014-92-J-4006 from PERSEREC through the Office of Naval Research. Awarded 1 September 1992. Grant Amount \$19,959.
- Bootstrap Computer Decision Making for Polygraph Examinations.* Grant No. N00014-92-J-1794 from PERSEREC through the Office of Naval Research. Awarded 1 April 1992. Grant amount: \$19,994.
- A Laboratory Study of the Validity of the Reliability and Validity of Statement Validity Assessment.* Research award from the Faculty Research Committee of the University of North Dakota. Awarded 28 October 1991. Award amount \$500.00.
- The Hired Gun Cross Examination Tactic Reduced Mock Jurors' Perception of Expert Witness' Credibility.* Travel award from the Faculty Research Committee of the University of North Dakota to support paper presentation. Awarded 28 October 1991, Award amount \$398.00

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Field Validity Study of Canadian Police College Polygraph Technique, Contract No. M9010-1-F107/01-ST. Science Branch, Supply and Services Canada. Awarded 18 September 1991. Contract Amount, Phase I, \$25,400.

Psychophysiology Laboratory Start-Up Award. Award No. 2015-1806-2001. Office of Research and Program Development and the College of Arts and Sciences, University of North Dakota. Awarded August 1990. Award amount \$12,000.

Research Associate:

A Study of the Validity of Polygraph Examinations in Criminal Investigations. Grant Number 85-IJ-CX-0400, National Institute of Justice. David C. Raskin, Principal Investigator. Grant amount \$60,000

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Scientific Paper Presentations and Invited Addresses

2012

Honts, C. R. (2012, February). *Interrogation, False Confessions & Actual Innocence*, invited address at the College of Social Sciences and Public Affairs Speaker Series, Boise State University, Boise, Idaho.

Honts, C. R., Craig, R. A., & Kassin, S. (March, 2012). *Medium of presentation and the assessment of juvenile false confessions*. Paper accepted for presentation at the annual meeting of the American Psychology - Law Society, San Juan, Puerto Rico.

Marchak, F. M., Keil, T. L., McBride, S., & Honts, C. R. (March, 2012). *Ocular and physiological assessment of eyewitness identification*. Paper accepted for presentation at the annual meeting of the American Psychology - Law Society, San Juan, Puerto Rico.

2011

Honts, C. R. (2011, August). *Interrogations, false confessions, and the polygraph: Issues and Concerns from psychological science*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the Canadian Association of Police Polygraphists, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

Honts, C. R. (2011, November). *Actual innocence and the paradox of false confessions*. Invited address at Osher Lifelong Learning Institute. Boise ID.

Honts, C. R., & Handler, M. (2011, April). *Interrogations, false confessions and the polygraph: Issues and concerns from psychological science*. Invited workshop (half day) at the American Association of Police Polygraphists annual meeting, Boston, MA.

2010

Honts, C. R. (2010, January). *Interrogations, Confessions, Psychology and Law*. Invited address at the Federal Defenders' 3rd Thursday meeting, Boise, Idaho.

Honts, C. R. (2010, August). *The Utah Approach to the Comparison Question Test*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the Canadian Association of Police Polygraphists, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

Honts, C. R. (2010, August). *Countermeasures in 2010: What We Know and What We Don't Know*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the Canadian Association of Police Polygraphists, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

Honts, C. R. (2010, October). *Countermeasures; What We Know and What We Don't Know*. Invited address at the World Congress of Forensic Sciences and Polygraph (Congreso Mundial de Ciencias Forenses y Poligrafia) Cartagena, Columbia.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Honts, C. R. (2010, October). *Interrogations, False Confessions and the Polygraph: Issues and Concerns From Psychological Science*. Invited address at the World Congress of Forensic Sciences and Polygraph (Congreso Mundial de Ciencias Forenses y Poligrafia) Cartagena, Columbia.

Honts, C. R., & Crawford, M. (2010, March). *Polygraph Countermeasures Cannot Be Detected From Respiratory Signatures: Government Policy Puts The Innocent At Risk*. Paper presented at the 2010 meeting of the American Psychology Law Society, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

Honts, C. R., & Hartwig, M. (2010, March). *Nine Years After 9-11, Why So Little Progress On Assessing Credibility At Portals?* Paper presented at the 2010 meeting of the American Psychology Law Society, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

2009

Honts, C. R. (2009, January). *Research on Countermeasures and the Utah Polygraph Technique*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the National Polygraph Association, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Honts, C. R. (2009, August). *Countermeasures in 2009: What We Know and What We Don't Know*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the American Polygraph Association, Nashville, Tennessee.

Honts, C. R. (2009, August). *A Scientific Perspective of the Comparison Question Test*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the American Polygraph Association, Nashville, Tennessee.

Honts, C. R. (2009, August). *The Scientific Community's View of Interrogations, Confessions, False Confessions, and Actual Innocence*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the American Polygraph Association, Nashville, Tennessee.

Honts, C. R. (2009, September). *Psychophysiological Detection of Deception: A Scientific Perspective in 2009*. Invited address at the annual meeting of New Jersey Polygraphists.

Honts, C. R. (2009, September). *A Scientific Perspective of the Comparison Question Test*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the New Jersey Polygraphists, Atlantic City, New Jersey.

Honts, C. R. (2009, September). *The Utah Scoring System*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the New Jersey Polygraphists.

Honts, C. R. (2009, September). *Countermeasures in 2009: What We Know and What We Don't Know*. Invited address at the annual meeting of the New Jersey Polygraphists, Atlantic City, New Jersey.

Honts, C. R., Kassin, S., Forrest, K. D. (2009, March). *Polygraph Examiners Unable to Discriminate True and False Juvenile Confessions: Reid Training Detrimental*. Paper presented at the 2009 meeting of the American Psychology Law Society, San Antonio, Texas.

Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (2009, November). *Countermeasures in 2009: What We Know and What We Don't Know*. Invited address at Asociacion Colombiana de Poligrafistas, Bogota, Colombia.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Honts, C. R., Reavy, R., Markowski, K., McBride, S., Pitman, J., & Pitman, F. (2009, March). *Variations in Comparison Question Test Methods Have Little Impact*. Paper presented at the 2009 meeting of the American Psychology Law Society, San Antonio, Texas.

Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., & Honts, C. R. (2009, November). *Utah Zone Technique*. Invited address at Asociacion Colombiana de Poligrafistas, Bogota, Colombia.

2008

Honts, C. R. (2008, March). *The polygraph: Misrepresented, misunderstood, and misused*. Invited address Psychology and Law Lecture Series at The Marian Miner Cook Athenaeum, Claremont McKenna College, Claremont, California.

Honts, C. R., Pittman, F. A., Pittman, J. V., McBride, S. T., Anderson, A. B., & Christiansen, A. K., (2008, May). *A New Paradigm for the Study of Deception Detection at Portals*. Paper presented at the Association for Psychological Science annual meeting in Chicago, Illinois.

2007

Christiansen, A., & Honts, C. R. (2007, March). *The Incomprehensibility of judicial instructions and subsequent jury decisions*. Paper presented at *Off the Witness Stand: Using Psychology in the Practice of Justice*, John Jay College of Criminal Justice, New York.

Christiansen A. K., Honts, C. R., & Oldemeyer, L. (2007, May). *Relationship Between Aggressive Authoritarianism And Juror Bias In Capital Trials*, paper presented at the Western Psychological Association, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

Forrest, K. D., Simonsen, S., & Honts, C. R. (2007, September). *A comparison of maximization and minimization in the cheating paradigm*. Paper presented at *Interrogations & Confessions: A Conference Exploring Current Research, Practice, and Policy*, The University of Texas at El Paso, Texas.

Honts, C. R. (2007, March). *Munsterberg's polygraph in 2007: The emergence of the polygraph as an effective tool in criminal justice and national security*. Paper presented at *Off the Witness Stand: Using Psychology in the Practice of Justice*, John Jay College of Criminal Justice, New York.

Honts, C. R. (2007, May). *Assessing Credibility at Portals*. Invited address given at the *Credibility Assessment Research Summit (CARS)* held by the Counterintelligence Field Activity (CIFA), Combating Terrorism Technology Support Office (CTTSO), and the Defense Academy for Credibility Assessment (DACA). Vienna, Virginia.

Honts, C. R. & Kassin, S. M. (2007, March). *False confessions by juvenile offenders found more believable than the truth*. Paper presented at *Off the Witness Stand: Using Psychology in the Practice of Justice*, John Jay College of Criminal Justice, New York.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Honts, C. R. & Kassin, S. M. (2007, September). False confessions by juvenile offenders as believable as true confessions. Paper presented at *Interrogations & Confessions: A Conference Exploring Current Research, Practice, and Policy*, The University of Texas at El Paso, Texas.

2006

Forrey, S. A. & Honts, C. R. (2006, April). *Individual views of felons returning to the community*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Park City, Utah.

Honts, C. R. (2006, March). *The science of false confessions*. Invited address presented at: *Life in the Balance 2006: Defending Death Penalty Cases*. National Legal Aid & Defender Association, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Honts, C. R. (2006, March). *The polygraph in 2006: Basic issues and novel uses*. Invited address presented at: *Life in the Balance 2006: Defending Death Penalty Cases*. National Legal Aid & Defender Association, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Honts, C. R. (2006, March). *Polygraph in the American courts: Current status, novel uses, and future prospects*. Invited address presented at the European Expert Meeting on Polygraph Testing: Practical Session, Maastrich, The Netherlands.

Honts, C. R. (2006, March). *The science of psychophysiological deception detection: An analysis from an applied scientific perspective*. Invited address presented at the European Expert Meeting on Polygraph Testing: Research Session, Maastrich, The Netherlands.

Honts, C. R. (2006, April). *Contemporary research on the comparison question test*. Invited address given at the Unit for Criminal, Legal and Investigative Psychology, Göteborgs Universitet, Göteborg, Sweden.

Honts, C. R. (2006, April). *Deception and deception detection: The unwanted psychology*. Presidential address delivered at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, The Canyons, Utah.

Honts, C. R. (2006, October). *The psychophysiological detection of deception: The state of the science in 2006*. Invited address given at the University of Nebraska at Kearney.

Honts, C. R., Huck, B., & Sanders, S. (2006, April). *Certainly, I would know a false confession by a juvenile if I saw one?* Paper presented in the Presidential symposium: *Contemporary deception and deception detection research in the Rocky Mountain Region*, at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Park City, Utah.

Turnblom, K.A. & Honts, C. R. (2006, April). *Mortality Salience and attitudes toward the death penalty*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Park City, Utah.

Turnblom, K. A., & Honts, Charles, C. R. (2006, April). *Indications of truthfulness resulting from race perceptions*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Park City, Utah.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

2005

- Forrest, K., Ewton, S., & Honts, C. R., (2005, March). *"I confess ...I hit the 'ALT' key:" Could the "ALT" key be misleading special knowledge?* Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Conference in La Jolla, California, USA.
- Honts, C. R. (2005, March). *Information gain for the psychophysiological detection of deception.* Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Conference in La Jolla, California, USA.
- Honts, C. R. (2005, May). *Polygraph countermeasures.* Invited lecture at 第二届全国心理测试高级培训班（中国洛阳）Second Chinese National Seminar on Polygraph. Luoyang, China.
- Honts, C. R. (2005, November). *The psychology of false confessions.* Invited address at the Atlantic Canadian Conference: Understanding Wrongful Convictions, sponsored by Saint John Police Force and Office of the Attorney General of New Brunswick. Saint John, New Brunswick, Canada. <http://www.uwcsaintjohn.ca/index.html>
- Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (2005, May). *The directed lie comparison question.* Invited lectures at 第二届全国心理测试高级培训班（中国洛阳）Second Chinese National Seminar on Polygraph. Luoyang, China.
- Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (2005, May). *The polygraph in employment and national security.* Invited lectures at 第二届全国心理测试高级培训班（中国洛阳）Second Chinese National Seminar on Polygraph. Luoyang, China.
- Honts, C. R. (2005, September). *Deception and deception detection.* Invited address at the Fraud and Identity Theft Conference, Boise State University, Boise, Idaho.
- Honts, C. R., & Turnblom, K. A., (2005, August). *Eyewitness identification more difficult under low illumination: Live event experiment.* Paper presented at the annual meetings of the American Psychological Association, Washington DC.
- Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (2005, May). *Polygraph techniques.* Invited lectures at 第二届全国心理测试高级培训班（中国洛阳）Second Chinese National Seminar on Polygraph. Luoyang, China.
- Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (2005, May). *Polygraph numerical scoring system.* Invited lectures at 第二届全国心理测试高级培训班（中国洛阳）Second Chinese National Seminar on Polygraph. Luoyang, China.
- Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (2005, July). *Using the polygraph in employment and national security.* Paper presented at: Workshop on the Use of Autonomic and Somatic Measures for Security Evaluations, John C. Kircher, Chair, National Science Foundation and Office of Science and Technology Effort to Propose an Agenda for Research on Security Evaluations. National Science Foundation, Arlington, Virginia.
- Turnblom, K., & Honts, C. R. (2005, March). *The accuracy of eyewitness identification under differing illumination condition.* Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Conference in La Jolla, California USA.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Turnblom K., & Honts, C. R. (2005, April). *The accuracy of eyewitness identification in sequential lineups and varied illumination*. Paper presented at the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association meeting in Phoenix, Arizona.

Turnblom K., & Honts, C. R. (2005, April). *Intrinsic Vs. Extrinsic Religiosity And Feelings Of Regret About Sexual Behavior*. Paper presented at the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association meeting in Phoenix, Arizona.

2004

Anders, S., Forrest, K. D., & Honts, C. R., (March, 2004). *Uncommon knowledge: getting judges past what they think they know and gaining acceptance for expert testimony in social science disciplines*. Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the American Psychology-Law Society, Scottsdale, Arizona.

Forrest, K. D., Honts, C. R., & Anders, S. (March, 2004). *Laypersons' predictions of research findings sometimes fail to demonstrate common knowledge*. Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the American Psychology-Law Society, Scottsdale, Arizona.

Hill, B., Honts, C., Lutsky, N., & Lodge, G. (2004, April). *Perspectives on teaching: The young, old, & the aged*. STP/CTUP Conversation Hour, R. Miller, Moderator, Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Reno, Nevada.

Honts, C. R. (2004, March). *The polygraph in 2004: How to tell the good from the bad in both science and practice*. Invited address presented at Life in the Balance 2004: Defending Death Penalty Cases. National Legal Aid & Defender Association, Memphis, Tennessee.

Honts, C. R., & Forrest, K. D., (2004, April). *A cautionary note for the teaching of psychology and law: Media images may be more persuasive than data*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Reno, Nevada.

Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (March, 2004). *Polygraph critics advocate convicting the innocent*. Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the American Psychology-Law Society, Scottsdale, Arizona.

2003

Bell, V., Booras, A., Hunsaker, C., Mower, K., Villamarin, V., Wilson, S., Forrest, K., & Honts C. R. (2003, April). *Women give fewer false confessions than men in a laboratory study*. Paper presented at the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association Meeting, Denver Co.

Forrest, K. D., Wilson, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (2003, July). *Think about what you have done in the past: Does rumination elicit false confessions?* Paper presented at the Second International Interdisciplinary Conference on Psychology and Law, Edinburgh, Scotland.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Honts, C. R. (2003, March). *The psychophysiological detection of deception: The state of the science in 2003*. Invited address given at: Rättspsykologiskt symposium: Hur avslöjas en lögnare? (Deception Detection in Forensic Contexts.) Sponsored by the Unit for Criminal, Legal and Investigative Psychology, Göteborgs Universitet, Göteborg, Sweden.

Honts, C. R. (2003, October). *Participant perceptions support the rationale of the comparison question test for the psychophysiological detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Chicago, Illinois.

Skogsberg, K., & Honts, C. R., & Wolfe, G. (2003, April). *Case studies of EEG biofeedback training using a measurement of affect*. Paper presented at the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association Meeting, Denver, Colorado.

2002

Alloway, W. R., & Honts, C. R. (2002, April). *An information countermeasure has no effect on the validity of the Test for Espionage and Sabotage (TES)*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Park City, Utah.

Honts, C. R. (2002, April). *A higher education application of Aronson's Jigsaw Technique*. Invited lecture sponsored by the APA Higher Education Directorate & the Society for the Teaching of Psychology at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Park City, Utah.

Honts, C. R. (2002, September). *Countermeasures, can we detect them, the scientific research, and can we neutralize them*. Invited address at the Midwest Polygraph Training Seminar. Sponsored by the National Polygraph Association, Kansas Polygraph Association, Missouri Polygraph Association and the Nebraska Polygraph Association, Overland Park, Kansas.

Honts, C. R. (2002, September). *The directed lie technique*. Invited address at the Midwest Polygraph Training Seminar. Sponsored by the National Polygraph Association, Kansas Polygraph Association, Missouri Polygraph Association and the Nebraska Polygraph Association, Overland Park, Kansas.

Honts, C. R., Thurber, S., Cvencek, D., & Alloway, W. (2002, March). *General acceptance of the polygraph by the scientific community: Two surveys of professional attitudes*. Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Society biennial meeting, Austin, Texas.

Villamarin, V. A., Honts, C. R. (2002, April). *A comparison of three methods of scoring comparison question polygraph tests*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Park City, Utah.

2001

Cvencek, D., Honts, C. R., Kruger-Warn, E., Alloway, W., Hunsaker, C., & Nudson, O. N. (2001, April). *Psycho-legal scholars report positive attitudes towards polygraph validity*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Reno, Nevada.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

- Honts, C. R. (2001, April). *Truth or just bias: The strange mix of psychology, law and the detection of deception*. RMPA Invited Lecture, presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Reno, Nevada.
- Honts, C. R. (2001, April). *Truth or bias: Psychology and the polygraph*. Invited address, distinguished lectures in Psychology, The University of Nebraska, Kearney.
- Honts, C. R. (2001, April). *Child Witness: Credibility and Assessment*. Invited address, distinguished lectures in Psychology, The University of Nebraska, Kearney.
- Honts, C. R. (2001, April). *Documenting your credentials when applying for graduate school and for academic employment*. In S. Amato, C. R. Honts, & J. Purdy: Where's the beef? Obtaining and demonstrating skills essential for employment and graduate school, a symposium presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Reno, Nevada.
- Honts, C. R. (2001, April). *Undergraduate involvement in an active detection of deception laboratory*. In A. Webb: Undergraduate teaching and research: Roles are constrained only by imagination, Society for the Teaching of Psychology (Div 2) Invited symposium presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Reno, Nevada.
- Honts, C. R. (2001, July). *Validity of the polygraph when used for national security screening*. Invited presentation before the National Academy of Sciences National Research Council Division on Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education, Committee to Review the Scientific Evidence on the Polygraph. Woods Hole, Massachusetts.

2000

- Amato, S. L., Dillinger, R. J., & Honts, C. R. (2000, March). *Psychophysiological detection of deception exams in the treatment of sex offenders*. Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Society biennial meeting, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- Hanson, G., Kruger-Warn, E. R., Honts, C. R., & Thurber, S. (2000, May). *Approaches to the analysis of 2 X 3 contingency tables in detection of deception research: A Monte Carlo analysis*. Paper given at the annual meeting of the Idaho Psychological Association, Boise, Idaho.
- Honts, C. R., Amato, S., & Gordon, A. (2000, March). *Outside issues dramatically reduce the accuracy of polygraph tests given to innocent individuals*. Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Society biennial meeting, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- Kruger-Warn, E. R., Hanson, G., Honts, C. R., & Thurber, S. (2000, May). *Approaches to the analysis of 2 X 3 contingency tables in detection of deception research: A power analysis for a small effect*. Paper given at the annual meeting of the Idaho Psychological Association, Boise, Idaho.

1999

- Amato, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (1999, May). *Automated polygraph examination outperforms human in employment screening context*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Midwestern Psychological Association, Chicago, Illinois.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

- Amato, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (1999, July). *Polygraph testing in the American courts: A mismatch between science and the law*. Paper presented at the International Psychology Law Conference, Dublin, Ireland.
- Honts, C. R. (1999, August). *Polygraph algorithms: What are they? and Do they work?* Invited address at the annual meeting of the American Polygraph Association, Dallas, Texas.
- Honts, C. R., & Amato, S. (1999, April). *Human v. machine: Research examining the automation of polygraph testing*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Fort Collins Colorado.
- Otter, K. D., Amato, S., & Honts, C. R. (1999, April). *Spontaneous countermeasures during polygraph examinations: An apparent exercise in futility*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Fort Collins Colorado.

1998

- Amato, S. L. & Honts, C. R. (1998, March). *The impairing effects of misinformation: Challenging the permanence of memory*. Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Biennial Conference, Redondo Beach, California.
- Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Letterman, M. R. (1998, March). *Regional differences effect mock juror decisions and trial outcome in cases involving sexual abuse allegations*. Paper presented at the American Psychology-Law Biennial Conference, Redondo Beach, California.
- Honts, C. R. (1998, April). *Psychological science in the courtroom: Five years after Daubert*. Symposium presented (and chaired) at the joint meeting of the Western Psychological Association and the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Honts, C. R. (1998, April). *The polygraph after Daubert*. Paper presented at the joint meeting of the Western Psychological Association and the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

1997

- Amato, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (1997, May). *Understanding misinformation's effect on memory through a concealed knowledge test paradigm*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Society, Washington, DC.
- Honts, C. R. (1997, May). *Is it time to reject the friendly polygraph examiner hypothesis (FEPH)?* Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Society, Washington, D.C.
- Nowell, B., Lamet, J. Amato, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (1997, April). *Child abuse vs. normal interactions: A review of the literature*. Paper presented the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Reno, Nevada.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Orner, V. L., Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Donaldson, J. S. (1997, March). *Regional attitude differences toward repressed and nonrepressed memories*. Paper presented at the Oklahoma Psychological Association and Oklahoma Psychological Society 15th Annual Spring Conference to Encourage and Develop Psychological Research, Edmond, OK.

Orner, V. L., Devitt, M. K., & Honts, C. R. (May, 1997). *Regional differences in attitudes toward repressed and nonrepressed memories*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Southwestern Psychological Association, Fort Worth, Texas.

1996

Amato-Henderson, S. L., Honts, C. R., & Plaud, J. J. (October, 1996). *Effects of misinformation on the concealed knowledge test*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Loftus, E. F. (April, 1996). *The effects of misinformation on memory for complete events*. Paper presented at the 1996 Southwestern Psychological Association Annual Conference, Houston, Texas.

Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Timm, T. M. (April, 1996). *Sleeping memories revisited: Mock jurors' attitudes regarding repressed memories*. Paper presented at the 1996 Southwestern Psychological Association Annual Conference, Houston, Texas.

Devitt, M. K., Loftus, E. F. & Honts, C. R. (November, 1996) *Memory for fictitious events*. Paper presented at the 37th Annual Meeting of the Psychonomic Society, Inc, Chicago, IL.

Honts, C. R. (April, 1996). *Science and the child witness: Credibility and its assessment*. Invited address given at the Idaho Psychological Association meetings in Sun Valley, Idaho.

Tye, M. J. C., & Honts, C. R. (August, 1996). *Evaluating children's testimonies with training in criteria based content analysis*. Paper presented at the meetings of the American Psychological Association, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

1995

Gillund, B., Ferraro, F. R., Petros, T., & Honts, C. R. (June, 1995). *Time course of word recognition resource allocation between good and poor readers*. Paper presented at the annual meetings of the American Psychological Society, New York City.

Honts, C. R. (January, 1995). *Credibility assessment with children*. Paper presented at CRIMECON: The International INTERNET Conference on Crime and Criminal Justice.

Honts, C. R. (October, 1995). *The Raskin legacy: Psychophysiology, psychology, and the law*. Paper given in C. R. Honts and S. W. Porges, *The Raskin legacy: From Pavlov to the law: A preconference symposium honoring David C. Raskin*. Special Event at the annual meetings of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Toronto, Canada.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

- Honts, C. R., Devitt, M. K., Tye, M. J. C., Peters, D. P., & Vondergeest, L. (April, 1995). *credibility assessment with children*. Paper presented at the Society for Research in Child Development meetings in Indianapolis, Indiana.
- Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (October, 1995). *Legends of the concealed knowledge test: Lykken's distributional scoring system fails to detect countermeasures*. Paper presented at the meetings of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Toronto, Canada.
- Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (October, 1995). *Lens model analysis of decision-making by field polygraph examiners*. Paper presented at the meetings of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Toronto, Canada.
- Tye, M. J. C., & Honts, C. R. (April, 1995). *Adults are no better than chance at detecting children's narrative deception*. Paper presented at the Society for Research in Child Development meetings in Indianapolis, Indiana.
- Tye, M. J. C., & Honts, C. R. (November, 1995). *Training in a new assessment technique (SVA) for the diagnosis of the sexually abused child*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Association for Advancement of Behavior Therapy, Washington, DC.
- Tye, M. J. C., Henderson, S. A., & Honts, C. R. (January, 1995). *Evaluating children's testimonies: CBCA and lay subjects*. Paper presented at CRIMECON: International INTERNET Conference on Crime and Criminal Justice.

1994

- Amato, S. L. & Honts, C. R. (October, 1994). *What do psychophysiologicalists think about polygraph tests? A survey of the membership of SPR*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Atlanta, GA.
- Amato, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (March, 1994). *A survey of the Society for Psychological Research regarding the polygraph*. Paper presented at the Red River Valley Psychology Conference, Fargo ND.
- Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., Gillund, B. E., Amato, S. L., Peters, D. P., & Norton, M. (March, 1994). *A study of the willingness of children to make false accusations about a serious matter*. Paper presented at the American Psychology - Law Society Meetings, Sante Fe, NM.
- Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Timm, T. (October, 1994). *Sleeping memories revisited: The effects of repressed and non-repressed memories on jurors*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the North Dakota Psychological Association, Grand Forks.
- Honts, C. R. (May, 1994). *False allegations in sexual abuse cases*. Invited address at the 1st Annual Conference on Psychiatry and the Law, Missoula Psychiatric Services, Missoula, Montana.
- Honts, C. R. (August, 1994). *The psychophysiological detection of deception*. Invited address given at Vitnepsykologi - 94: Psychological Methods in the Investigation and Court Treatment of Sexual Abuse, Tromsø, Norway.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

- Honts, C. R. (October, 1994). *Field validity study of the Canadian Police College polygraph technique*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Atlanta, GA.
- Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (March, 1994). *Credibility assessment of verbatim statements (CAVS): A study of statement analysis with adults*. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Psychology - Law Society, Sante Fe, NM.
- Honts, C. R., Winbush, M., & Devitt, M. K. (October, 1994). *Physical and mental countermeasures can be used to defeat guilty knowledge tests*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Atlanta, GA.
- Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (August, 1994). *The susceptibility of children to suggestion and influence*. Invited address given at Vitnepsykologi - 94: Psychological Methods in the Investigation and Court Treatment of Sexual Abuse, Tromsø, Norway.
- Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., Honts C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (October, 1994). *Generalizability of statistical classifiers for the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Atlanta, GA.
- Telander, R., & Honts, C. R. (March, 1994). *Bingo: Who is playing and why?* Paper presented at the Red River Valley Psychology Conference, Fargo, ND.
- Tye, M. J. C., & Honts, C. R. (March, 1994). *Evaluating children's testimonies*. Paper presented at the Red River Valley Psychology Conference, Fargo, ND.
- Tye, M. J. C., Amato, S. L., Honts, C. R. (October, 1994). *Evaluating children's testimonies: Recent research*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the North Dakota Psychological Association, Grand Forks.
- 1993**
- Amato, S. L., & Honts, C. R. (October, 1993). *Scientific Attitudes about the polygraph: Implications for admissibility following the death of Frye*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the North Dakota Psychological Association, Fargo, ND.
- Devitt, M. K., & Honts, C. R. (June, 1993). *Multivariate classifiers perform as well as experts in the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Society, Chicago.
- Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Gillund B. (June, 1993). *Stealing thunder does not ameliorate the effects of the hired gun cross-examination tactic*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Association for Applied and Preventive Psychology, Chicago.
- Honts, C. R. (February, 1993). *The application of computationally intensive statistical techniques in a psychophysiological detection of deception problem*. University of North Dakota, Computer Science Colloquium. Grand Forks, North Dakota.
- Honts, C. R. (October, 1993). *Symposium: Assessing children's credibility*. (Chair) Symposium presented at the annual meeting of the North Dakota Psychological Association, Fargo, ND.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Honts, C. R. (October, 1993). *Statement validity assessment: A technique for assessing the veracity of a child witness*. Paper presented in C. R. Honts, Chair, Symposium: Assessing children's credibility. North Dakota Psychological Association, Fargo, ND.

Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (June, 1993). *Bootstrap statistical decision maker developed for use in the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Society, Chicago.

Honts, C. R., Devitt, M. K., & Amato, S. L. (June, 1993). *Explanatory style predicts perceptions of expert witness believability*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Association of Applied and Preventive Psychology, Chicago.

Vondergeest, L. K., Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (June, 1993). *No gender effects found on mock juror's perceptions of expert witness credibility*. Paper presented at PSY-CHI session at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Society, Chicago.

1992

Devitt, M. K., Honts, C. R., & Peters, D. P. (1992, April). *Truth or just bias: The presentation of polygraph testing in introductory psychology texts*. Paper presented at the Red River Valley Psychology Conference.

Devitt, M. K., Peters, D., Honts, C. R., & Amato, S. (1992, May). A study of the willingness of children to make a false accusation about a serious matter. Paper presented at the NATO ASI: The Child Witness in Context: Cognitive, Social, and Legal Perspectives, IL Ciocco, Italy.

Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (1992, March). *The hired gun cross examination tactic reduced mock jurors' perception of expert witness' credibility*. Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the American Psychology-Law Society/Division 41 San Diego, CA.

Honts, C. R. (1992, April). *The psychophysiological detection of deception: Sparks fly at the intersection of science, psychology, and the law*. Keynote address of the Red River Psychology Conference, North Dakota State University, Fargo.

Honts, C. R., Peters, D., Devitt, M. K., & Amato, S. L. (1992, May). Detecting children's lies with statement validity assessment: A pilot study of a laboratory paradigm. Paper presented at the NATO ASI: The Child Witness in Context: Cognitive, Social, and Legal Perspectives, IL Ciocco, Italy.

Honts, C. R., Devitt, M. K., & Amato, S. L. (1992, October). *Neural network classifiers and the detection of deception revisited: Depth of learning and overfitting*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, San Diego, CA.

Vondergeest, L. K., Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (1992, April). *Effects of juror and expert witness gender on jurors' perception of the expert witness*. Paper presented at the Red River Valley Psychology Conference.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

1991

- Devitt, M. K., Shubert, L. E., & Honts, C. R. (1991, April). *Male and female knowledge of and responsibilities in the use of contraceptives*. Paper presented at the Red River Psychology Conference, Moorhead, Minnesota.
- Honts, C. R. (1991, February). *Psychophysiological detection of deception*. Presentation given at the Psychiatry Grand Rounds of North Dakota. North Dakota Educational Telephone Network (ETN).
- Honts, C. R. (1991, June). *The counterintelligence scope polygraph test found to be a poor discriminator*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Society, Washington, D. C.
- Honts, C. R. (1991, November). Jackknife, cross-validation, bootstrapping, and neural networks? An introduction to the application of computationally intensive methods in psychology. University of North Dakota, Psychology Department Colloquium Series, Grand Forks, North Dakota.
- Honts, C. R., & Kristjanson, A. (1991, June). *A back-propagation neural network fails to out perform discriminant analysis in a physiological detection of deception task*. Paper presented at the First Annual Convention of the American Association of Applied and Preventive Psychology (AAAPP), Washington, D. C.
- Honts, C. R. (1991, October). *Converging evidence indicates invalidity for national security screening polygraph tests*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society For Psychophysiological Research, Chicago, IL.
- Honts, C. R., & Devitt, M. K. (1991, October). Jackknife analyses of discriminant, logistic regression and back propagation neural network classifiers in a psychophysiological detection of deception problem. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Chicago, IL.

1990

- Honts, C. R. (1994, December). *Polygraph tests: Facts, fables, and the future*. Colloquium given at the Department of Psychology, North Dakota State University, Fargo, North Dakota.
- Honts, C. R., & Raskin, D. C. (1990, March). *The "Yes" answered control question: Dispelling one of the myths of the polygraph profession*. Paper presented at the 1990 American Psychology-Law Society/Division 41 Biennial Meeting, Williamsburg VA.
- Honts, C. R., & Carlton, B. (1990, October). *Effects of incentives on the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Boston, MA.

1989

Barland, G. H., Honts, C. R., & Barger, S. D. (1989, October). *The validity of detection of deception for multiple issues*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, New Orleans, LA.

Honts, C. R., Barland, G. H., & Barger, S. D. (1989, October). *The relative validity of criminal and screening approaches to the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, New Orleans, LA.

1988

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., & Horowitz, S. W. (1988, March). *A field validity study of the control question test*. Paper presented at the American Psychology and Law Society / Division 41 Midyear Conference, Miami, Florida.

Honts, C. R., & Driscoll, L. D. (1988, April). *The development of a rank order scoring system for detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Snowbird, Utah.

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1988, April). *Visceral perception and the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Snowbird, Utah.

Honts, C. R., Horowitz, S. W., & Raskin, D. C. (1988, April). *Can the short Eysenck questionnaire be substituted for the Eysenck Personality Inventory*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Snowbird, Utah.

Honts, C. R., Kircher, J. C., & Raskin, D. C. (1988, October). *Patterns of activation and deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, San Francisco, CA.

Horowitz, S. W., Collins, S., Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (July, 1988). *Facial expressions of deceit*. Paper presented at the NATO Advanced Study Institute, Credibility Assessment: A Unified Theoretical and Research Perspective, Maratea, Italy.

Horowitz, S. W., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Kircher, J. C. (1988, October). *Control questions in physiological detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, San Francisco, CA.

Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (1988, October). *Generalizability of mock crime laboratory studies of the control question polygraph technique*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, San Francisco, CA.

Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., Honts, C. R., & Horowitz, S. W. (1988, October). *Validity of control question polygraph tests in criminal investigation*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, San Francisco, CA.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

1987

- Honts, C. R. (February, 1987). *Countermeasures to the physiological detection of deception*. Colloquium given at the Psychology Department, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, North Carolina.
- Honts, C. R. & Prestrude, A. M. (1987, April). *Interocular effect on specific thresholds for flicker*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Honts, C. R. (1987, April). *Polygraph credibility assessment*. In John Yuille (Chair), Symposium: *Assessing eyewitness credibility*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Honts, C. R. & Raskin, D. C. (1987, May). *A field validation study of directed lie and standard control questions*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1987, August). *Is polygraph test accuracy related to perceptions of test accuracy?* Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, New York.

1986

- Honts, C. R. (April, 1986). Clinical versus statistical decision making in the physiological detection of deception: Possible solutions to problems of application. Colloquium given at the Department of Psychology, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg.
- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1986, March). *Socialization and the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the American Psychology and Law Society / Division 41 Midyear Conference, Tucson, Arizona.
- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1986, August). *Countermeasures and the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Washington, D. C.
- Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1986, October). *Individual differences and the physiological detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Montreal Canada.

1985

- Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., & Honts, C. R. (1985, February). *Computerized polygraph interpretations and detection of physical countermeasures*. Invited paper, IDENTA '85 International Congress on Techniques for Criminal Identification, Jerusalem, Israel.
- Honts, C. R., (1985, August). *Research on countermeasures and the physiological detection of deception*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Los Angeles, CA.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

1984

Honts, C. R. (1984, October). *Countermeasures and the physiological detection of deception*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Milwaukee, WI.

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., Kircher, J. C., & Hodes, R. L. (1984, October). *Effects of spontaneous countermeasures on the physiological detection of deception*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Milwaukee, WI.

Kircher, J. C., Raskin, D. C., & Honts, C. R. (1984, October). *Electrodermal habituation in the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Milwaukee, WI.

1983

Honts, C. R., Raskin, D. C., & Kircher, J. C. (1983, September). *Detection of deception: Effectiveness of physical countermeasures under high motivation conditions*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Pacific Grove, CA

1982

Honts, C. R. (1982, April). *The interocular effect and specific thresholds for flicker*. Paper presented at the Experimental Area Annual Research Fest, Department of Psychology, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg.

Honts, C. R. & Hodes, R. L. (1982, October). *The effect of simple physical countermeasures on the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Minneapolis, MN.

Honts, C. R. & Hodes, R. L. (1982, October). *The effects of multiple physical countermeasures on the detection of deception*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Psychophysiological Research, Minneapolis, MN.

1981

Honts, C. R. (1981, April). *Effects of instructions and feedback on the control of phasic electrodermal activity*. Paper presented at the Experimental Area Annual Research Fest, Department of Psychology, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Public Addresses and Other Public Service Activities:

- "Validity and reliability of detection of deception examinations in the laboratory and in the field." Bonneville Community Corrections Center, Salt Lake City, Utah, May 18, 1983.
- "Countermeasures: The detection of attempts to defeat the polygraph test." Arizona Polygraph Association Seminar, Phoenix, Arizona, April 7, 1984.
- "Commercial uses and abuses of the polygraph." Bountiful Area Chamber of Commerce, Bountiful, Utah, June 20, 1984.
- "Countermeasures". The Third Annual colloquium on Polygraph Science and Methodology. Sponsored by: The Academy of Polygraph Science and Methodology and The Department of Psychology, University of North Carolina, Charlotte. June 3, 1985.
- "Countermeasures, the directed lie control question, socialization, and other things." Canadian Association of Police Polygraphists Annual Seminar, Ottawa, Canada, August 21, 1986.
- "The polygraph in 1987". The Intermountain Junior Science and Humanities Symposium. University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, February 26, 1987.
- "The ethics of the use of polygraph tests in the work place". Business Ethics Seminar, College of Business, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, August 3, 1987.
- "A computer assisted polygraph system". Utah Polygraph Association, Salt Lake City, Utah, December 16, 1987.
- "Countermeasures and psychophysiological patterning in the detection of deception". Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, Fort McClellan, Alabama, March 7, 1988.
- "Changes and future directions for the Department of Defense Polygraph Institute", Federal Interagency Polygraph Seminar, FBI Academy, Quantico, Virginia, June 6, 1989.
- "Research in the detection of deception", Panel Discussion, Federal Interagency Polygraph Seminar, FBI Academy, Quantico, Virginia, June 9, 1989.
- "The evaluation of psychophysiological data from polygraph examinations". United States Secret Service - 1989 Polygraph Conference, Washington, D. C., November 11, 1989.
- "Validity of the positive control question test and the effects of countermeasures on the control question test". Naval Investigative Service Annual Polygraph Seminar, Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, Fort McClellan, Alabama. July 16, 1990.
- "Topics in the detection of deception". Panel Discussion. The 21st Annual National Polygraph Workshop, Delta College, University Center, Michigan. May 10, 1991.
- "The directed lie control question: Research and practice". The 21st Annual National Polygraph Workshop, Delta College, University Center, Michigan. May 10, 1991.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

"Countermeasures and the detection of deception: Facts not fables". The 21st Annual National Polygraph Workshop, Delta College, University Center, Michigan. May 10, 1991.

"Credibility assessment with adults and children." Invited address to the North Dakota State's Attorneys Association. University of North Dakota Law School. February 11, 1994.

"Junk science in the courtroom." Presentation at the North Dakota Supreme Court Judicial Institute, University of North Dakota Law School, Grand Forks. May 23, 1994.

"New developments in the assessment of children's credibility." Presentation at the North Dakota Supreme Court Judicial Institute, University of North Dakota Law School, Grand Forks. May 23, 1994.

"The polygraph in 1994." Presentation at the North Dakota Supreme Court Judicial Institute, University of North Dakota Law School, Grand Forks. May 24, 1994.

"Uses and abuses of polygraph test used in child sexual abuse litigation." Invited address at the Third International Conference of the National Child Abuse Defense and Resource Center, Cleveland, Ohio, September 22, 1994.

"Child witness credibility: Influence factors and methods of assessment." Invited address at the Third International Conference of the National Child Abuse Defense and Resource Center, Cleveland, Ohio, September 23, 1994.

"The child witness." Invited address at the Thirteenth Annual "Helen Hamilton Day" meetings at the University of North Dakota Law School. Sponsored by the University of North Dakota Law Women's Caucus. Grand Forks, North Dakota, May 1995.

"Legal admissibility of the polygraph in 1996", Invited presentation at the Federal Public Defender's Third Thursday meeting. Boise, Idaho, March 1996.

"The polygraph after Daubert", Invited lectures at the Indiana Public Defender Council 1997 Death Penalty Seminar. Indianapolis, Indiana, September 1997.

Hannity and Colmes, 3 November 1997, Appearance on nationally broadcast television show concerning *Commonwealth v. Woodward*. Fox News Network.

The Alan Colmes Show. Nationally syndicated radio talk show, 4 November 1997, Appearance on nationally broadcast radio talk show concerning *Commonwealth v. Woodward*.

The Victoria Jones Show. Nationally syndicated radio talk show, 4 November 1997, Appearance on nationally broadcast radio talk show concerning *U. S. v. Scheffer* before the U. S. Supreme Court, and *Commonwealth v. Woodward*.

KIVI News, 10 November 1997. Appearance on Boise evening news concerning *Commonwealth v. Woodward*.

KTVB News, 10 November 1997. Appearance on Boise evening news concerning *Commonwealth v. Woodward*.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

KBCI News, 10 November 1997. Appearance on Boise evening news concerning *Commonwealth v. Woodward*.

German National Television, February 1998, *Lugeudetektor*, NTSC. Appearance on nationally broadcast documentary.

National Criminal Defense Lawyers Association, April 1998, What the Polygraph Can Do For Your Client: Scientific and Practical Aspects of the Polygraph After Daubert. Invited Address at the Spring Seminar, Santa Monica, California.

Washington Defenders' Conference, May 1998, Scientific Credibility Assessment: Life After Daubert and Scheffer. Two talks: Child Witnesses 1 May 1998, The Polygraph, 2 May 1998. Invited lectures at the annual conference. Sun Mountain Lodge, Winthrop, Washington.

Insight, The BBC World Service, November 1998, world-wide broadcast documentary.

Idaho Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, March 1999, 1999 Winter Seminar: World Class Defenders & World Class Skiing. Repressed Memory: Junk Science in the Courtroom. Elkhorn Resort, Sun Valley, Idaho.

Idaho Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, June 1999, The 1999 Spring Seminar. The Polygraph in Y2K. Idaho Falls, Idaho.

Deadline Discovery. January 31, 2001. Interview concerning the validity of Brain-fingerprinting. Broadcast on the Discovery Channel.

60 Minutes II, Final Exam with Scott Pelley, December 12, 2001, Feature on polygraph in national security. Broadcast on the CBS network.

Idaho Juvenile Corrections Management Team, May 21, 2002, *The Polygraph: Basic issues regarding sex offender testing*. Nampa, Idaho.

Juvenile Justice Advisory Team of Magistrate Judges, July 23, 2002, *The Polygraph: Basic issues regarding sex offender testing*. Boise, Idaho.

Juvenile Corrections Board, August 16, 2002, *The Polygraph: Basic issues regarding sex offender testing*. Boise, Idaho.

Federal Public Defender's Third Thursday Meeting, September 19, 2002. Invited presentation, "Assessing the credibility of a child witness," Boise, Idaho.

KCBI, Channel 2 News, *10 at 10*. Interview and news feature concerning the validity of polygraph testing. July 28, 2003, Boise, Idaho.

KBSU, Boise State Public Radio, New Horizons in Education, Interview regarding the validity of polygraph testing. June 4, 2004, rebroadcast August 6, 2004.

Dateline NBC, appeared on the episode, *The Mystery in Rock Hill*, first broadcast, 9 July 2010.

World Wide Web Services:

Polygraph Law Resource Page, <http://truth.boisestate.edu/polygraph/polylaw.html>

The Credibility Assessment and Witness Psychology List - CAAWP@listserv.boisestate.EDU (1997-2007)

Workshops and Conferences Organized and/or Conducted:

The First Annual Psychology and Law Conference of the Psychology Department & the Division of Continuing Education, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, Co-Organized with Douglas Peters, July, 1991

NATO Advanced Study Institute - *The Child Witness in Context: Cognitive, Social, and Legal Perspectives*. IL Ciocco, Lucca, Italy. Executive Assistant to the Director, Douglas Peters
On-site Program Manager, May, 1992

Interviewing Children and Assessing Their Credibility. Workshop sponsored by the Ward County (North Dakota) State's Attorney and the Ward County Social Service Board. Minot, North Dakota. April, 1994.

NATO Advanced Study Institute - *Credibility: International Perspectives on Assessment, Methods, and Research*. Director: Charles R. Honts, Organizing Committee: Marisa Alonso-Quecuty, Guenter Koehnken, and Sven Svebak. Proposed for 1995, not funded.

The State of New Mexico. *The polygraph in 1998: Advances in Polygraph Science*. Continuing education workshop for New Mexico Polygraph Examiners, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 18 and 19 April 1998. Partially funded by the State of New Mexico, Private Investigator and Polygraph Board.

The State of New Mexico. *The polygraph in 1999: Advances in Polygraph Science*. Continuing education workshop for New Mexico Polygraph Examiners, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 20 and 21 March, 1999. Co-conducted with John C. Kircher, Ph. D. Partially funded by the State of New Mexico, Private Investigator and Polygraph Board.

Court Appointed Special Advocate. Child witnesses: Credibility and its assessment. In service training. Boise, Idaho 10 September 2001, 26 November 2002, 1 February 2005.

Idaho Criminal Defense Lawyers Association, Invited CLE lecture, *The Psychology of Confessions: Issues and Concerns from Psychological Science*. November 3, 2006, Boise, Idaho.

The State of New Mexico. *The polygraph in 2007*. Continuing education workshop for New Mexico Polygraph Examiners, Albuquerque, New Mexico, January 20, 2007. Funded by the State of New Mexico, Private Investigator and Polygraph Board. (8 hours of presentation.)

Mississippi Polygraph Association. *The polygraph in 2007*. Continuing education workshop for Polygraph Examiners. Tunica Mississippi. (8 hours of presentation).

Credibility Assessment Research Summit (CARS) held by the Counterintelligence Field Activity (CIFA), Combating Terrorism Technology Support Office (CTTSO), and the Defense Academy for

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.**Curriculum Vitae**

Credibility Assessment (DACA). Vienna, Virginia May 23-25, 2007, Member of the Organizing Committee.

Texas Association of Law Enforcement Polygraph Investigators (TALEPI). 2007 Training Seminar. Topics covered: False Confessions, Polygraph Technique Validation Studies, Utah Zone Technique, Polygraph Countermeasures. Austin Texas, June 18 and 19, 2007. <http://www.talepi.org/07SeminarARC.pdf>

Israeli Polygraph Examiners Association. 2008 Training Seminar. *The Utah Approach to the Comparison Question Test* (6 hours) and *Polygraph Countermeasures* (2 hours), Dead Sea, Israel, January, 18 and 19, 2008.

Credibility Assessment at Portals Workgroup held by the Defense Academy for Credibility Assessment (DACA). McLean, Virginia, December 4 and 5, 2008.

Conducting research with the comparison question test. Workshop held at the Psychology Department, John Jay College of Criminal Justice, New York, New York, 2 April 2009.

Editorial Activities:

Founder and Editor of:

<i>The Journal of Credibility Assessment and Witness Psychology</i>	1996-2010
<i>Polygraph-Law Resource Page</i>	1996-2011

Associate Editor, <i>Polygraph</i>	1988 to 1990
---	--------------

Member of the Editorial Board,

<i>Forensic Reports</i>	1991-1992
<i>European Polygraph</i>	2008-2012

Ad Hoc Editorial Consultant:

American Psychological Society, Convention Proposal Reviewer	1993
American Psychology - Law Society, Convention Proposal Reviewer	1993, 2004
American Psychological Association Convention Proposal Reviewer	2004
American Psychological Association Book Reviewer	2009
<i>Applied Cognitive Psychology</i>	2001, 06(3), 07, 08, 09
<i>Applied Developmental Science</i>	2001
<i>Behavioral Sciences and the Law</i>	1996
<i>Crime Laboratory Digest</i>	1993
<i>Criminal Justice and Behavior</i>	2002, 2004, 2005
<i>Developmental Psychology</i>	1995
<i>Forensic Science Communications Review</i>	2000, 01, 03
<i>Journal of Applied Psychology</i>	1992, 93, 00, 02, 03
<i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: General</i>	2002, 2004
<i>Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied</i>	2003, 04, 05, 06, 07, 10
<i>Journal of Forensic Sciences</i>	2002, 07
<i>Journal of General Psychology</i>	1998

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

<i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</i>	1991 thru 1998
<i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology: Personality Processes and Individual Differences</i>	2004
<i>Journal of Physiology and Behavior</i>	2007, 2008
<i>Journal of Psychophysiology</i>	1999
<i>Law and Human Behavior</i>	1994, 2004, 05, 06(2) 07(4), 08
<i>Legal and Criminological Psychology</i>	2006
<i>Nature</i>	2001
New York University Press	2009
<i>Psychology, Crime & Law</i>	2011
<i>Psychology, Public Policy, & Law</i>	2007, 09, 10, 11
<i>Psychological Bulletin</i>	1993, 94, & 99
<i>Psychophysiology</i>	1995, 98, 99, & 04
Rocky Mountain Psychological Association Program Committee	1987, 88, 99, 02

Grant Proposal/Report Reviewer:

National Science Foundation	1997, 2001
European Science Foundation	2009
Israel Science Foundation	2011
Department of Defense Polygraph Institute	2001, 02, 03, 04(2)
National Center for Credibility Assessment	2011
Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada	2004, 2005, 2006

Student Supervision:

Psychology Department, University of North Dakota

Doctoral Committees (Chair):

- Martine Mizwa. *Validation of the victim empathy scale.* Completed: August 1993
- Mary Devitt, Time and suggestion by significant persons: Effects on memory for complete events. Completed: May 1995
- Susan Amato. Effects of misinformation on the concealed knowledge test. Completed, April 1996.
- Marcia Moberg *The utility of statement validity assessment in differentiating between false reports and true memories in children.* Completed December 1999.
- Marcus Tye Effects of brief training in statement validity assessment on the ability of lay evaluators to determine the truthfulness of children's statements. Completed, April, 1996.
- Member: Jacqueline Brouse, Anne Dowrenwend, Nasrin Erfanian, Ron Hougen, Jeff Kearney, Maria Kearney, Sally Kennedy, Arlinda Kristjanson, Cami Lokken, Don Newberry, Kate Onyeneho, Marty Witucki

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Master's Committees (Chair):

Sheila Rydell, Defendant occupation and victim resistance as mediators of attributions of responsibility for rape. Completed : July, 1992.

Mary Devitt, A study of the relative accuracy of discriminant analysis, logistic regression, and back propagation neural network classifiers in a psychophysiological detection of deception problem. Completed: August, 1992.

Susan Amato, A survey of member of the Society for Psychophysiological Research regarding the polygraph: Opinions and implications. Completed: December 1993.

Marcia Moberg, A study of differential effects of defense and prosecution expert witnesses. Completed : August 1993.

Marcus Tye, Criteria-based content analysis of children's statements about a mock crime compared with the evaluations of naive subjects. Completed May 1994.

Lynelle Vondergeest, *Juror decision making*. Completed: May 1995.

Steven Westby, General media publicity and jury deliberations. Completed: July 1994.

Member: Jean Caraway, Brent Gillund, Heidi Jensen, Jeff Kearney, Don Newberry, Margo Norton, Gail Robbins, Shannan McKenzie

Senior Thesis Committees (Chair):

Randy Telander, *Bingo, who is playing and why?* Completed: May 1995.

Lynelle Vondergeest, Effects of juror and expert witness gender on jurors' perceptions of the expert witness. Completed: February, 1992.

Marcus Winbush. Countermeasures and the guilty knowledge test. Completed: May 1993.

Member: Margo Adams, Keri Anderson, Shelly Kumru

Boise State University, Department of Psychology

Senior Thesis

Katieann Skogsberg, *Case studies of EEG biofeedback training using a measurement of affect*. Completed May 2003. (Chair).

Ginny Gragg, *Boostrapping the polygraph*. Completed: May 1999. (Chair)

Cara Lundquist (1999).

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Wendy Alloway. *The effects of internet information on the validity of the TES*. Thesis project for AY 2001-2002 (Chair).

Andrea Webb (2002). *The effects of age, gender, religion, and religious strength on obedience to authority: A comparative study*. Honor's Thesis. Completed May 2002. (Member).

Julie Crow (2006). *Treatment of sex offenders*. Honor's Thesis. Completed May 2006. (Chair)

Post-Doctoral

Anne Gordon, Ph. D. (1998 - 2000)
 Racheal Reavy, Ph. D. (2008 - 2009)

Doctoral

Paul Bernhardt (2005; University of Utah). *Effects of Prior Demonstrations of Polygraph Accuracy on Outcomes of Probable-lie and Directed-lie Polygraph Tests* (Member).

Andrea Webb – Ph.D. Committee Member – University of Utah (2006-2008).

University Instruction:

Department of Psychology, Boise State University

Research Methods	1995-2000, 2010-11
Introduction to Statistics	1996, 04, 05
Psychology and Law	1998-2012
General Psychology (Large Lecture with TAs)	1999, 01, 04
General Psychology (Small Section)	2002
Physiological Psychology	2000, 2005-12
Cognitive Psychology	2001
Learning Theory	2007
History and Systems in Psychology	2009-10

Psychology Department, University of North Dakota

Introductory Psychology (Large Lecture with 2 TAs)	1990-91-92
Introduction to Statistics (Large Lecture with 2 TAs)	1990-91-93-94
Industrial & Organizational Psychology	1991-92-94-95
Introduction to Personality Theory	1991
Advanced Univariate Statistics + Research Design (Graduate Course)	1991 thru 1995
Cognitive and Affective Bases of Behavior (Graduate Course)	1993-95
Theories of Personality (Graduate Course)	1993-95
Psychology and Law (Graduate Seminar)	1992
Advanced Topics in Research Design and Statistics (Graduate Seminar)	1994

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.**Curriculum Vitae****Psychology Department, University of Utah**

Detection of Deception Workshop (Annual)	1982 thru 1994
Sensation & Perception	1984-85-87
Theories of Personality	1985-87
Research Methods, with Laboratory	1986
Introduction to Psychology (Large Section with 1 TA)	1987
Statistical Methods in Psychology	1988

Department of Psychology, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

Introductory Psychology Laboratory	1981
Sensation Laboratory	1981
Perception Laboratory	1982
Teaching Fellow for History and Systems in Psychology	1982

**Department of Psychology, Jacksonville State University and the
Department of Defense Polygraph Institute joint Master's Degree Program**

Psychological Bases of the Detection of Deception (Graduate Course)	1989
Psychophysiological Assessment (Graduate Course)	1990

Other Professional Instruction:

Arizona School of Polygraph Science

Psychology and Physiology in the Basic Polygraph Examiner Course	1985-88, 91
--	-------------

Canadian Police College

Psychophysiology, Psychopharmacology, Polygraph Research, Numerical Scoring for the Basic Polygraph Examiner Course	1986 88 90-98
--	---------------

Center for Professional Development, The Air University at Maxwell AFB

Guest Instructor in the Advanced Trial Advocacy Course (ATAC)	1989-90
---	---------

Department of Defense Polygraph Institute, Fort McClellan, Alabama

Research Methods, Basic Polygraph Examiner Course	1989
Instructor, Courtroom Testimony Course	1990

Federal Bureau of Investigation, FBI Academy, Quantico, Virginia

Advanced Topics in Detection of Deception	1989
---	------

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

1. Professional Organizations:

Association for Psychological Science (formerly American Psychological Society; Charter Member; Fellow, 2006)	Joined: 1989
American Psychological Association	Joined: 1984
American Psychology - Law Society	Joined: 1987
Rock Mountain Psychological Association	Joined: 1987
Society for Psychophysiological Research	1981 to 1997

Psychology Department Committee Service, University of North Dakota:

Graduate Admissions	90/91 - 92/93
Colloquium	1990 to 1992
Departmental Resources	1991/92
Personnel (Chair)	1991/92
Faculty Evaluation	1992/93

Psychology Department Committee Service, Boise State University:

Tenure and Promotion (College)	1996/97/11
Curriculum (College)	1997/98
Faculty Development (Senate)	1997/98
Personnel (Department)	98/99/00/03/04/06
Dean's Research Awards Committee	2000/01/04
General Psychology Subject Pool (Department)	00/01/03/04-08
SSPA Dean's Evaluation Committee (University, Chair)	2003
Webmaster Experimentrix/Experiment Management System	2008-11

Professional Licensing:

Commonwealth of Virginia, Polygraph Examiner, Certificate #310, Inactive.
 Issued: 7 June 1977

State of Utah, Detection of Deception Examiner, License #860065, Inactive.
 Issued 1 January 1983

State of North Dakota, Detection of Deception Examiner, D-0025
 Issued 6 October 1992 through 2007.

State of New Mexico, Detection of Deception Examiner, 152 Y
 Issued 1 July 1995, still active.

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.

Curriculum Vitae

Consultations for Public Agencies:

Arizona Department of Public Safety
Indiana State Police
Idaho Department of Health and Welfare: Family and Children's Services
Inspector General, State of Utah
Inspector General, State of Oklahoma
Police Department, Duchesne County; Utah
Police Department, Fargo, North Dakota
Police Department, Lincoln County; Wyoming
Police Department, University of Utah
Police Department, West Valley City, Utah
Quebec Provincial Police
Royal Canadian Mounted Police
United States Secret Service
United States Air Force Office of Special Investigations

Expert Witness Testimony:

1. Alamogordo, New Mexico. New Mexico Board of Education, Appeals, August 19, 1983 (Polygraph)
2. Los Angeles, California. Federal District Court, November 23, 1983 (Polygraph)
3. Carson City, Nevada. Nevada Gaming Control Board, February 7, 1984 (Polygraph)
4. Davis County, Utah District Court, August 13, 1984 (Polygraph)
5. Salt Lake County, Utah District Court, September 26, 1984 (Polygraph)
6. Utah Medical Association, Grievance Committee, February 28, 1985 (Polygraph)
7. Box Elder County, Utah District Court, March 15, 1985 (Polygraph)
8. Campbell County Court, Gillette, Wyoming, May 17, 1985 (Polygraph)
9. Duchesne County, Utah District Court, September 24, 1985 (Polygraph)
10. Salt Lake County, Utah District Court, November 6, 1985 (Polygraph)
11. Bernalillo County, New Mexico District Court, August 1, 1986 (Polygraph)
12. Quebec Provincial Court, District of Terrebonne, June 29, 1993 (False Confessions & the polygraph)
13. Troop County, Georgia State Court, August 20, 1993, *Georga v. Rilling*. (Polygraph hearing.)
14. Sweetwater County, Wyoming District Court, October 29, 1993, *Wyoming v. Muniz*, CR-9212-0035 (Statement Analysis and Child Witness Issues, at trial)
15. East Saint Louis, IL., Federal District Court, November 18, 1993, *U. S. v. Davis*, Criminal No. 93-30003-WLB (False Confessions & the Polygraph, deposition)
16. Hubbard County, Minnesota, District Court, April 5, 1994 *In the matter of the welfare of Timothy John Schmid*, No. J7-93-50113 (Statement Analysis and Child Witness Issues, at trial.)
17. Santa Fe, New Mexico, Federal District Court, March 10, 1995, *U. S. v. Galbreth*, Criminal No. 94-197 MV (Polygraph hearing)
18. Clarke County, Superior Court, Athens Georgia, April 7, 1995, (Polygraph hearing)
19. Bismarck, ND, Federal District Court, May 25, 1995, *U. S. v. Poitra*, No. ALL 94-02 (Statement Analysis hearing)
20. Bernalillo County, New Mexico District Court, September 12, 1995, *New Mexico v. Harris*, No. CR 92-01433 (Polygraph, at trial)
21. Ada County, Idaho District Court, *Griffith v. Melgaard*, November 17, 1995 (Polygraph, at trial) November 22, 1995 (Polygraph and Child Witness Issues, at trial)
22. Savannah, Georgia, United States District Court, July 11, 1996, *U. S. v. Gilliard*, No. CR-196-019 (Polygraph hearing)
23. Colorado Springs, Colorado, District Court, September 3, 1996, *David Law v. City of Colorado Springs et al.*, Civil Action No. 92CV0896 (Polygraph, at trial)
24. Alameda, California, District Court, October 8, 1996 *People v. Winger*, No. 128040A (Polygraph hearing)
25. Lafayette, Louisiana, United States District Court, November 12, 1996, *U. S. v. Callier* (Polygraph hearing).
26. Thermopolis, Wyoming, District Court, *LaBoy v. Upton*, 1996 (Polygraph, at trial).
27. Sandy, Utah, Utah District Court, February 24, 1997, *Utah v. Rappleye* (Child Witness Issues, at trial)
28. Lincoln, Nebraska, United States District Court, 8 April 1997, *Richter v. Bartee*, No. 4: CV95-3309 via telephone (Polygraph, post-conviction hearing.)
29. Cambridge, Massachusetts, Superior Court, July 14, 1997, *Commonwealth v. Woodward*, No. 97-433 (Polygraph hearing)

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.**Curriculum Vitae**

30. Martinsville, Indiana, District Court, December 15, 1997, *Indiana v. Hubbard*, CAUSE NO: 55C01-9612-CF-271 (Polygraph hearing)
31. Bisbee, Arizona, Cochise County Superior Court, February 5, 1998, *Arizona v. Sebastian*, No. CR97000030 via telephone (Polygraph hearing)
32. Albuquerque, New Mexico, New Mexico District Court, February 17, 1998, *New Mexico v. Mann*, CR No. 96-2874 (Polygraph, at trial)
33. Fairfax, Virginia, Circuit Court of Fairfax, 4 March 1998, *Commonwealth v. Iliff*. (Child Witness Issues, admissibility hearing)
34. Cambridge, Massachusetts, Superior Court, 19 March 1998, *Commonwealth v. Henry*, Nos. 96-129 (001-002). (Polygraph hearing)
35. Phoenix, Arizona, United States District Court, 31 March 1998, *U. S. v. Guyer*. (Polygraph hearing)
36. Santa Ana, California, United States District Court, *U. S. v. Gillett*, SA CR 97-70-AHS 10 July 98. (Polygraph hearing).
37. Colorado Springs, Colorado, District Court, 17 July 98, *Colorado v. Morgan*. (Polygraph hearing)
38. Colorado Springs, Colorado, District Court, 21 July 98, *Colorado v. Morgan*. (Child Witness Issues, at trial)
39. Tucson, Arizona, United States District Court, 20 October 98. *U.S. v. Benavidez-Benavidez*, Case No. Cr 98-674-TUC-FRZ, *U.S. v. Pisciotta* (Combined Polygraph Hearing)
40. Pocatello, Idaho, District Court, 23 October 98. *Idaho v. Wilkins*, Case No. CRFE 98-00284B (Polygraph hearing)
41. Portage County, Ohio, The Ohio Court of Common Pleas, 30 April 1999. *State v. Resh*, Case No. 90 CR 0068, and *State v. Gondor*, Case No. 90 CR 0067 (Polygraph, post conviction evidentiary hearing, cases consolidated)
42. Columbus, Ohio, Franklin County Court, 27 August 1999. *State v. Nichols*, Case No. 95CR-4299. (Polygraph, at trial)
43. Indianapolis, Indiana, 14 October 1999, via telephone. *Indiana v. Ben-Yisrayl*. Sworn testimony as an offer of proof in death penalty appeal (Polygraph).
44. Idaho Falls, Idaho, 25 October 1999, Idaho District Court, *Idaho v. Welch*, Case No. CR-99-2362 Additional testimony given in the same case on 12 November 1999 (Polygraph Hearing).
45. Springfield, Massachusetts, 18 and 19 November 1999, Superior Court, Hampden, ss, *Commonwealth v. Slonka*, Case Nos. 91-1479 & 91-1480. (Polygraph Hearing).
46. Albuquerque, New Mexico, 24 August 2000, 9th Judicial District Court, *State of New Mexico v. Eddie Taylor*. No. D-905-CR-99000118. (Polygraph Hearing, Telephone).
47. Denver, Colorado, 14 August 2000, *People v. Nathan Dunlap*, Case No. 95CR605, Arapahoe County District Court. Rule 35 motion for post-conviction review. (Polygraph)
48. Dallas, Texas, 6 September 2000, *Morse et al., v. Henson et al.* Sworn deposition. (Polygraph)
49. Boston, Massachusetts, 19 September 2000, *Commonwealth v. Toro*, Case No, 81-035974, 74. (Polygraph).
50. Albuquerque, New Mexico, 17 Nov 2000, 9th Judicial District Court, *State of New Mexico v. Eddie Taylor*. No. D-905-CR-99000118. (Polygraph Hearing, Telephone).
51. Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, 7 Feb 2001, First Judicial District (Kootenai County), *State of Idaho v. Mitch Cougar*, Case No. CRF 99-07211. (Polygraph)
52. Ogden, Utah, 25 April 2001, Second Judicial District, *Sate of Utah v. Phillip Christiansen*. (Child Witness Issues).
53. Sante Fe, New Mexico, 9 August 2001, First Judicial District, *In the Matter of M-S*, #JQ-01-09 (Polygraph).

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.**Curriculum Vitae**

54. Boise, Idaho, 11 March 2002, *Idaho v. Shaver*, Ada County Sentencing hearing. (Polygraph).
55. Bradenton, Florida, 11 October 2002, *State of Florida v. William T. Stacks*, Case no. 2001 CF 2419. Deposition via satellite. (Polygraph Malpractice).
56. Mount Holly, New Jersey, 24 October 2002, *State of New Jersey v. Patrick Free*, Indictment No. 98-06-0397-I. (Polygraph Malpractice, False Confession).
57. Bradenton, Florida, 26 November 2002, *State of Florida v. William T. Stacks*, Case no. 2001 CF 2419. Deposition via satellite. (Polygraph Malpractice).
58. Las Cruces, New Mexico, 16 and 17 January 2003. *State of New Mexico v. Kevin Lee*, Cause No. F-01-10-1029 Dona Ana County. Daubert Hearing (Polygraph.)
59. Caldwell, Idaho, 29 January 2003. *State of Idaho v. Joshua King*. Canyon County. Sentencing Hearing (Polygraph.)
60. Nezperce, Idaho, 23 April 2003. *State of Idaho v. Craig T. Perry*. District Court of Lewis County, Case No. CR-02-00082. Daubert Hearing (Polygraph.)
61. Lexington, Kentucky, 22 May 2003 and 14 July 2003. *Commonwealth of Kentucky v. Harold I. Stone, Jr.*, Case Number: 01-CR-00001. Daubert Hearing (Polygraph).
62. Albuquerque, New Mexico, 2 and 3 July 2003. *Kevin Lee, et al., Petitioners, v. Hon. Lourdes Martinez, Respondents*, County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, Second Judicial District Court, No. CS-2003-00026 (Supreme Court No. 27,915). Daubert Hearing (Polygraph.)
63. Caldwell, Idaho, 24 July 2003 (hearing/offer of proof) and 25 July 2003 (testimony before the jury). *Idaho v. Sarah Pearce*, Case #03-5092C. (Eyewitness Memory)
64. Tucson, Arizona, 31 July 2003, Jacquelyn Smith, Severance Hearing (testimony by telephone.) (Polygraph)
65. Perth, Western Australia, 29 August 2003, *Between Andrew Mark Mallard and The Queen*, in the Supreme Court of Western Australia, Court of Criminal Appeal, CCA 135 of 2002. Testimony via satellite. (Polygraph Hearing).
66. Boise, Idaho, 10 March 2004, *Idaho v. Michael Roberts*, Case No. H0300981. Sentencing hearing. (Polygraph)
67. Rapid City, South Dakota, 2 September 2004, *United States v. Jonas Seth Not Help Him*, CR 04-50004. Suppression hearing. (Interrogation techniques and false confessions)
68. York, South Carolina, 16 September 2004, *State of South Carolina v. Billy Wayne Cope*, Case Nos. H-023255; H-023258; H-023259; H-023260; H-023262; H-023263; H-023275; H-023276; H-023277; H-023278: H-509259. Jury Trial (Polygraph)
69. Rapid City, South Dakota, 22 September 2004, *United States v. Jonas Seth Not Help Him*, CR 04-50004. Trial. (Interrogation techniques)
70. Boise, Idaho, 18 April 2005, *State of Idaho v. Daniel Levitt*. Probation Violation Hearing. (Polygraph and False Confessions)
71. Boise, Idaho 27 April 2005, *State of Iowa v. Jaun Macias* Criminal No. FECR052969 Iowa District Court for Woodbury County. Deposition regarding polygraph malpractice.
72. Atlanta, Georgia 8 & 9 September 2005, *United States v. Ricardo Cortez Williams*, Criminal Action No. 1:03-CR-636-JEC, In The United States District Court For The Northern District Of Georgia, Atlanta Division. (Daubert Hearing, Polygraph)
73. Mineola, Nassau County, New York, 17 November 2005, *The People of the State of New York v. John Kogut*, Indictment 61029, Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Nassau. (Polygraph testimony at trial).
74. Albuquerque, New Mexico, 9 February 2006, *State of New Mexico v. Mario Lucas Chavez*, No. CR-04-03558, Second Judicial District Court, County of Bernalillo. (Polygraph testimony at trial).

Charles R. Honts, Ph. D.**Curriculum Vitae**

75. Caldwell, Idaho, 11 July 2006 *Idaho v. Sarah Pearce*, Idaho Supreme Court docket #30502, case #03-5092C, evidentiary proceeding for the district court to take proffered testimony pursuant to a limited remand from the Idaho Court of Appeals. (Eyewitness Identification Procedures).
76. Caldwell, Idaho, 28 March 2007 *Idaho v. Jeremy Hall*, CR# 06-23125, Canyon County. Daubert hearing and testimony before the jury. (Interrogation and False Confessions).
77. Santa Fe, New Mexico, 10 October 2007. *City of Santa Fe v. Thomas K. Reed*. Municipal Court of Santa Fe, New Mexico. (Polygraph at trial).
78. Payette, Idaho, 12 February 2008, *Idaho v. Dale Morris Kyle, Sr.*, Payette County District Court, (Pre-trial Motions Hearing; Polygraph).
79. Rapid City, South Dakota, 11 September 2008, *U.S. v Benjamin High Horse*, CR 07-50091 (Suppression Hearing, testimony given by telephone; False Confession and Polygraph).
80. Waukegan, Illinois, 13 November 2008, *People of the State of Illinois v. Jaun Rivera*, No. 92 CF 2751 (Suppression Hearing, False Confession and Polygraph).
81. Boise, Idaho, 8 June 2009, *Laura Lee vs. Mahendra Gupta. M.D and Linton Hospital. d/b/a Linton Medical Center*. Case No. 15-06-C-00057, Civil No: 06-C-57, State of North Dakota in District Court, County of Emmons, South Central Judicial District. Deposition by telephone.
82. Flagstaff, Arizona, 24 June 2009, *State of Arizona vs. Daphne Henry*, CR2009-0165 (Coconino County, AZ) (Suppression Hearing, False Confession and Polygraph) by telephone.
83. Sante Fe, New Mexico, 25 June 2009. *State of New Mexico v. Steven James Cordova*. Testimony before the Grand Jury. (Polygraph).
84. Caldwell, Idaho, 3 November 2009. *State of Idaho v. Christopher James Latham*, CR-2008-24561-C. Daubert Hearing in the Third Judicial District Court of the State of Idaho (Interrogation and False Confessions).
85. Caldwell, Idaho, 6 November 2009. *State of Idaho v. Christopher James Latham*, CR-2008-24561-C. Testimony before the jury in the Third Judicial District Court of the State of Idaho (Interrogation and False Confessions).
86. Boise, Idaho, 9 June 2010, *Idaho v. Nolan*. Hearing concerning the admissibility of expert testimony on eyewitness factors. Juvenile Court.
87. Conway, South Carolina, 11 January 2011. *State of South Carolina, County of Horry v. Robert Andrew Palmer*. In the Court of General Sessions, Case Nos. 10G-GS 02196/02195 and 08-GS-26-04120 (Daubert Hearing on Polygraph Admissibility).
88. Clovis, New Mexico, 28 March 2011. *State v. Lonzell Wiggins*; D-0905-CR-200900892, Ninth Judicial District Court-Curry County, Clovis, NM (Rule 11-707 Hearing on the Polygraph, by telephone).
89. Boise, Idaho, 31 May 2011, *Stephan Cordova v. City of Albuquerque*, No. CV-2009-15455, State of New Mexico, County of Bernalillo, Second Judicial District Court. Deposition regarding polygraph.
90. Clovis, New Mexico, 3 November 2011. *State v. Lonzell Wiggins*; D-0905-CR-200900892, Ninth Judicial District Court-Curry County, Clovis, NM (Polygraph, before the jury at trial).
91. Vernal, Utah, 7 November 2011. *State of Utah, in the interest of Crow, Kes DOB 04/17/2007, A minor child*, Family Court. (Child witness issues at trial)